



US009339256B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Specht et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,339,256 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **May 17, 2016**

(54) **DETERMINING MATERIAL STIFFNESS  
USING MULTIPLE APERTURE  
ULTRASOUND**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(71) Applicant: **Maui Imaging, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA  
(US)

3,174,286 A 3/1965 Erickson  
3,895,381 A 7/1975 Kock

(Continued)

(72) Inventors: **Donald F. Specht**, Los Altos, CA (US);  
**Kenneth D. Brewer**, Santa Clara, CA  
(US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 101190134 A 6/2008  
CN 102123668 7/2011

(Continued)

(73) Assignee: **MAUI IMAGING, INC.**, Sunnyvale,  
CA (US)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 23 days.

Hendee et al. "Medical Imaging Physics" 2002, Wiley-Liss, Inc, 4th  
Edition.\*

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **13/773,340**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 21, 2013**

*Primary Examiner* — Rochelle Turchen

*Assistant Examiner* — Nate S Sunwoo

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Shay Glenn LLP

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0218012 A1 Aug. 22, 2013

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 61/601,482, filed on Feb.  
21, 2012.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**A61B 8/08** (2006.01)  
**A61B 8/14** (2006.01)  
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **A61B 8/485** (2013.01); **A61B 8/145**  
(2013.01); **A61B 8/4477** (2013.01); **A61B**  
**8/4488** (2013.01); **G01S 7/52022** (2013.01);  
**G01S 7/52042** (2013.01); **G01S 15/8915**  
(2013.01);  
(Continued)


(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... A61B 8/485  
See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Changes in tissue stiffness have long been associated with disease. Systems and methods for determining the stiffness of tissues using ultrasonography may include a device for inducing a propagating shear wave in tissue and tracking the speed of propagation, which is directly related to tissue stiffness and density. The speed of a propagating shear wave may be detected by imaging a tissue at a high frame rate and detecting the propagating wave as a perturbation in successive image frames relative to a baseline image of the tissue in an undisturbed state. In some embodiments, sufficiently high frame rates may be achieved by using a ping-based ultrasound imaging technique in which unfocused omni-directional pings are transmitted (in an imaging plane or in a hemisphere) into a region of interest. Receiving echoes of the omnidirectional pings with multiple receive apertures allows for substantially improved lateral resolution.

**17 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets**



- (51) **Int. Cl.**  
*A61B 8/00* (2006.01)  
*G03B 42/06* (2006.01)  
*G01S 7/52* (2006.01)  
*G01S 15/89* (2006.01)
- (52) **U.S. Cl.**  
 CPC ..... *G01S 15/8922* (2013.01); *G01S 15/8929*  
 (2013.01); *G01S 15/8979* (2013.01); *G01S*  
*15/8993* (2013.01); *G01S 15/8997* (2013.01);  
*G03B 42/06* (2013.01); *A61B 8/4444* (2013.01);  
*A61B 8/488* (2013.01); *G01S 15/8959*  
 (2013.01)
- (56) **References Cited**
- U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
- |           |   |         |                    |           |    |         |                          |
|-----------|---|---------|--------------------|-----------|----|---------|--------------------------|
| 4,055,988 | A | 11/1977 | Dutton             | 5,720,291 | A  | 2/1998  | Schwartz                 |
| 4,072,922 | A | 2/1978  | Taner et al.       | 5,720,708 | A  | 2/1998  | Lu et al.                |
| 4,097,835 | A | 6/1978  | Green              | 5,744,898 | A  | 4/1998  | Smith et al.             |
| 4,105,018 | A | 8/1978  | Greenleaf et al.   | 5,769,079 | A  | 6/1998  | Hossack                  |
| 4,259,733 | A | 3/1981  | Taner et al.       | 5,784,334 | A  | 7/1998  | Sena et al.              |
| 4,271,842 | A | 6/1981  | Specht et al.      | 5,785,654 | A  | 7/1998  | Iinuma et al.            |
| 4,325,257 | A | 4/1982  | Kino et al.        | 5,795,297 | A  | 8/1998  | Daigle                   |
| 4,327,738 | A | 5/1982  | Green et al.       | 5,797,845 | A  | 8/1998  | Barabash et al.          |
| 4,333,474 | A | 6/1982  | Nigam              | 5,798,459 | A  | 8/1998  | Ohba et al.              |
| 4,339,952 | A | 7/1982  | Foster             | 5,820,561 | A  | 10/1998 | Olstad et al.            |
| 4,452,084 | A | 6/1984  | Taenzer            | 5,838,564 | A  | 11/1998 | Bahorich et al.          |
| 4,501,279 | A | 2/1985  | Seo                | 5,850,622 | A  | 12/1998 | Vassiliou et al.         |
| 4,539,847 | A | 9/1985  | Paap               | 5,862,100 | A  | 1/1999  | VerWest                  |
| 4,566,459 | A | 1/1986  | Umemura et al.     | 5,870,691 | A  | 2/1999  | Partyka et al.           |
| 4,567,768 | A | 2/1986  | Satoh et al.       | 5,876,342 | A  | 3/1999  | Chen et al.              |
| 4,604,697 | A | 8/1986  | Luthra et al.      | 5,891,038 | A  | 4/1999  | Seyed-Bolorforosh et al. |
| 4,662,222 | A | 5/1987  | Johnson            | 5,892,732 | A  | 4/1999  | Gersztenkorn             |
| 4,669,482 | A | 6/1987  | Ophir              | 5,916,169 | A  | 6/1999  | Hanafy et al.            |
| 4,682,497 | A | 7/1987  | Sasaki             | 5,919,139 | A  | 7/1999  | Lin                      |
| 4,781,199 | A | 11/1988 | Hirama et al.      | 5,920,285 | A  | 7/1999  | Benjamin                 |
| 4,817,434 | A | 4/1989  | Anderson           | 5,930,730 | A  | 7/1999  | Marfurt et al.           |
| 4,831,601 | A | 5/1989  | Breimesser et al.  | 5,940,778 | A  | 8/1999  | Marfurt et al.           |
| 4,893,284 | A | 1/1990  | Magrane            | 5,964,707 | A  | 10/1999 | Fenster et al.           |
| 4,893,628 | A | 1/1990  | Angelsen           | 5,969,661 | A  | 10/1999 | Benjamin                 |
| 5,050,588 | A | 9/1991  | Grey et al.        | 5,999,836 | A  | 12/1999 | Nelson et al.            |
| 5,141,738 | A | 8/1992  | Rasor et al.       | 6,007,499 | A  | 12/1999 | Martin et al.            |
| 5,161,536 | A | 11/1992 | Vilkomerson et al. | 6,013,032 | A  | 1/2000  | Savord                   |
| 5,197,475 | A | 3/1993  | Antich et al.      | 6,014,473 | A  | 1/2000  | Hossack et al.           |
| 5,226,019 | A | 7/1993  | Bahorich           | 6,048,315 | A  | 4/2000  | Chiao et al.             |
| 5,230,339 | A | 7/1993  | Charlebois         | 6,049,509 | A  | 4/2000  | Sonneland et al.         |
| 5,269,309 | A | 12/1993 | Fort et al.        | 6,050,943 | A  | 4/2000  | Slayton et al.           |
| 5,278,757 | A | 1/1994  | Hector et al.      | 6,056,693 | A  | 5/2000  | Haider                   |
| 5,293,871 | A | 3/1994  | Reinstein et al.   | 6,058,074 | A  | 5/2000  | Swan et al.              |
| 5,299,576 | A | 4/1994  | Shiba              | 6,077,224 | A  | 6/2000  | Lang et al.              |
| 5,301,674 | A | 4/1994  | Erikson et al.     | 6,092,026 | A  | 7/2000  | Bahorich et al.          |
| 5,305,756 | A | 4/1994  | Entrekin et al.    | 6,122,538 | A  | 9/2000  | Sliwa, Jr. et al.        |
| 5,339,282 | A | 8/1994  | Kuhn et al.        | 6,123,670 | A  | 9/2000  | Mo                       |
| 5,340,510 | A | 8/1994  | Bowen              | 6,129,672 | A  | 10/2000 | Seward et al.            |
| 5,345,426 | A | 9/1994  | Lipschutz          | 6,135,960 | A  | 10/2000 | Holmberg                 |
| 5,349,960 | A | 9/1994  | Gondo              | 6,138,075 | A  | 10/2000 | Yost                     |
| 5,355,888 | A | 10/1994 | Kendall            | 6,148,095 | A  | 11/2000 | Prause et al.            |
| 5,398,216 | A | 3/1995  | Hall et al.        | 6,162,175 | A  | 12/2000 | Marian, Jr. et al.       |
| 5,409,010 | A | 4/1995  | Beach et al.       | 6,166,384 | A  | 12/2000 | Dentinger et al.         |
| 5,442,462 | A | 8/1995  | Guissin            | 6,166,853 | A  | 12/2000 | Sapia et al.             |
| 5,503,152 | A | 4/1996  | Oakley et al.      | 6,193,665 | B1 | 2/2001  | Hall et al.              |
| 5,515,853 | A | 5/1996  | Smith et al.       | 6,196,739 | B1 | 3/2001  | Silverbrook              |
| 5,515,856 | A | 5/1996  | Olstad et al.      | 6,200,266 | B1 | 3/2001  | Shokrollahi et al.       |
| 5,522,393 | A | 6/1996  | Phillips et al.    | 6,210,335 | B1 | 4/2001  | Miller                   |
| 5,526,815 | A | 6/1996  | Granz et al.       | 6,213,958 | B1 | 4/2001  | Winder                   |
| 5,544,659 | A | 8/1996  | Banjanin           | 6,221,019 | B1 | 4/2001  | Kantorovich              |
| 5,558,092 | A | 9/1996  | Unger et al.       | 6,231,511 | B1 | 5/2001  | Bae                      |
| 5,564,423 | A | 10/1996 | Mele et al.        | 6,238,342 | B1 | 5/2001  | Feleppa et al.           |
| 5,568,812 | A | 10/1996 | Murashita et al.   | 6,246,901 | B1 | 6/2001  | Benaron                  |
| 5,570,691 | A | 11/1996 | Wright et al.      | 6,251,073 | B1 | 6/2001  | Imran et al.             |
| 5,581,517 | A | 12/1996 | Gee et al.         | 6,264,609 | B1 | 7/2001  | Herrington et al.        |
| 5,625,149 | A | 4/1997  | Gururaja et al.    | 6,266,551 | B1 | 7/2001  | Osadchy et al.           |
| 5,628,320 | A | 5/1997  | Teo                | 6,278,949 | B1 | 8/2001  | Alam                     |
| 5,673,697 | A | 10/1997 | Bryan et al.       | 6,289,230 | B1 | 9/2001  | Chaiken et al.           |
| 5,675,550 | A | 10/1997 | Ekhaus             | 6,304,684 | B1 | 10/2001 | Niczyporuk et al.        |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,309,356 | B1 | 10/2001 | Ustuner et al.           |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,324,453 | B1 | 11/2001 | Breed et al.             |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,345,539 | B1 | 2/2002  | Raves et al.             |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,361,500 | B1 | 3/2002  | Masters                  |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,363,033 | B1 | 3/2002  | Cole et al.              |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,370,480 | B1 | 4/2002  | Gupta et al.             |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,374,185 | B1 | 4/2002  | Taner et al.             |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,394,955 | B1 | 5/2002  | Perlitz                  |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,423,002 | B1 | 7/2002  | Hossack                  |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,436,046 | B1 | 8/2002  | Napolitano et al.        |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,449,821 | B1 | 9/2002  | Sudol et al.             |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,450,965 | B2 | 9/2002  | Williams et al.          |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,468,216 | B1 | 10/2002 | Powers et al.            |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,471,650 | B2 | 10/2002 | Powers et al.            |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,475,150 | B2 | 11/2002 | Haddad                   |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,480,790 | B1 | 11/2002 | Calvert et al.           |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,487,502 | B1 | 11/2002 | Taner                    |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,499,536 | B1 | 12/2002 | Ellingsen                |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,508,768 | B1 | 1/2003  | Hall et al.              |
|           |   |         |                    | 6,508,770 | B1 | 1/2003  | Cai                      |

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|               |         |                       |                  |         |                            |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| 6,517,484 B1  | 2/2003  | Wilk et al.           | 7,846,097 B2     | 12/2010 | Jones et al.               |
| 6,526,163 B1  | 2/2003  | Halmann et al.        | 7,850,613 B2     | 12/2010 | Stribling                  |
| 6,543,272 B1  | 4/2003  | Vitek                 | 7,862,508 B2     | 1/2011  | Davies et al.              |
| 6,547,732 B2  | 4/2003  | Jago                  | 7,876,945 B2     | 1/2011  | Lötjönen                   |
| 6,551,246 B1  | 4/2003  | Ustuner et al.        | 7,887,486 B2     | 2/2011  | Ustuner et al.             |
| 6,565,510 B1  | 5/2003  | Haider                | 7,901,358 B2     | 3/2011  | Mehi et al.                |
| 6,585,647 B1  | 7/2003  | Winder                | 7,914,451 B2     | 3/2011  | Davies                     |
| 6,604,421 B1  | 8/2003  | Li                    | 7,919,906 B2     | 4/2011  | Cerofolini                 |
| 6,614,560 B1  | 9/2003  | Silverbrook           | 7,926,350 B2     | 4/2011  | Kröning et al.             |
| 6,620,101 B2  | 9/2003  | Azzam et al.          | 7,927,280 B2     | 4/2011  | Davidson                   |
| 6,652,461 B1  | 11/2003 | Levkovitz             | 7,972,271 B2     | 7/2011  | Johnson et al.             |
| 6,668,654 B2  | 12/2003 | Dubois et al.         | 7,984,637 B2     | 7/2011  | Ao et al.                  |
| 6,672,165 B2  | 1/2004  | Rather et al.         | 7,984,651 B2     | 7/2011  | Randall et al.             |
| 6,681,185 B1  | 1/2004  | Young et al.          | 8,002,705 B1     | 8/2011  | Napolitano et al.          |
| 6,690,816 B2  | 2/2004  | Aylward et al.        | 8,007,439 B2     | 8/2011  | Specht                     |
| 6,692,450 B1  | 2/2004  | Coleman               | 8,057,392 B2     | 11/2011 | Hossack et al.             |
| 6,695,778 B2  | 2/2004  | Golland et al.        | 8,057,393 B2     | 11/2011 | Yao et al.                 |
| 6,702,745 B1  | 3/2004  | Smythe                | 8,079,263 B2     | 12/2011 | Randall et al.             |
| 6,719,693 B2  | 4/2004  | Richard               | 8,079,956 B2     | 12/2011 | Azuma et al.               |
| 6,728,567 B2  | 4/2004  | Rather et al.         | 8,088,067 B2     | 1/2012  | Vortman et al.             |
| 6,752,762 B1  | 6/2004  | DeJong et al.         | 8,088,068 B2     | 1/2012  | Yao et al.                 |
| 6,755,787 B2  | 6/2004  | Hossack et al.        | 8,088,071 B2     | 1/2012  | Hwang et al.               |
| 6,780,152 B2  | 8/2004  | Ustuner et al.        | 8,105,239 B2     | 1/2012  | Specht                     |
| 6,790,182 B2  | 9/2004  | Eck et al.            | 8,107,694 B2     | 1/2012  | Hamilton et al.            |
| 6,837,853 B2  | 1/2005  | Marian                | 8,133,179 B2     | 3/2012  | Jeong et al.               |
| 6,843,770 B2  | 1/2005  | Sumanaweera           | 8,135,190 B2     | 3/2012  | Bae et al.                 |
| 6,847,737 B1  | 1/2005  | Kouri et al.          | 8,157,737 B2     | 4/2012  | Zhang et al.               |
| 6,854,332 B2  | 2/2005  | Alleyne               | 8,182,427 B2     | 5/2012  | Wu et al.                  |
| 6,932,767 B2  | 8/2005  | Landry et al.         | 8,202,219 B2     | 6/2012  | Luo et al.                 |
| 7,033,320 B2  | 4/2006  | Von Behren et al.     | 8,211,019 B2     | 7/2012  | Sumi                       |
| 7,087,023 B2  | 8/2006  | Daft et al.           | 8,277,383 B2     | 10/2012 | Specht                     |
| 7,104,956 B1  | 9/2006  | Christopher           | 8,279,705 B2     | 10/2012 | Choi et al.                |
| 7,217,243 B2  | 5/2007  | Takeuchi              | 8,412,307 B2     | 4/2013  | Willis et al.              |
| 7,221,867 B2  | 5/2007  | Silverbrook           | 8,419,642 B2     | 4/2013  | Sandrin et al.             |
| 7,231,072 B2  | 6/2007  | Yamano et al.         | 8,473,239 B2     | 6/2013  | Specht et al.              |
| 7,269,299 B2  | 9/2007  | Schroeder             | 8,478,382 B2     | 7/2013  | Burnside et al.            |
| 7,283,652 B2  | 10/2007 | Mendonca et al.       | 8,532,951 B2     | 9/2013  | Roy et al.                 |
| 7,285,094 B2  | 10/2007 | Nohara et al.         | 8,582,848 B2     | 11/2013 | Funka-Lea et al.           |
| 7,313,053 B2  | 12/2007 | Wodnicki              | 8,627,724 B2     | 1/2014  | Papadopoulos et al.        |
| 7,366,704 B2  | 4/2008  | Reading et al.        | 8,634,615 B2     | 1/2014  | Brabec                     |
| 7,402,136 B2  | 7/2008  | Hossack et al.        | 8,672,846 B2     | 3/2014  | Napolitano et al.          |
| 7,410,469 B1  | 8/2008  | Talish et al.         | 2002/0035864 A1  | 3/2002  | Paltieli et al.            |
| 7,415,880 B2  | 8/2008  | Renzel                | 2002/0087071 A1  | 7/2002  | Schmitz et al.             |
| 7,443,765 B2  | 10/2008 | Thomenius et al.      | 2002/0111568 A1  | 8/2002  | Bukshpan                   |
| 7,444,875 B1* | 11/2008 | Wu et al. .... 73/602 | 2002/0161299 A1  | 10/2002 | Prater et al.              |
| 7,447,535 B2  | 11/2008 | Lavi                  | 2003/0028111 A1  | 2/2003  | Vaezy et al.               |
| 7,448,998 B2  | 11/2008 | Robinson              | 2003/0040669 A1  | 2/2003  | Grass et al.               |
| 7,466,848 B2  | 12/2008 | Metaxas et al.        | 2003/0228053 A1  | 12/2003 | Li et al.                  |
| 7,469,096 B2  | 12/2008 | Silverbrook           | 2004/0054283 A1  | 3/2004  | Corey et al.               |
| 7,474,778 B2  | 1/2009  | Shinomura et al.      | 2004/0068184 A1* | 4/2004  | Trahey et al. .... 600/437 |
| 7,481,577 B2  | 1/2009  | Ramamurthy et al.     | 2004/0100163 A1  | 5/2004  | Baumgartner et al.         |
| 7,491,171 B2  | 2/2009  | Barthe et al.         | 2004/0111028 A1  | 6/2004  | Abe et al.                 |
| 7,497,828 B1  | 3/2009  | Wilk et al.           | 2004/0122313 A1  | 6/2004  | Moore et al.               |
| 7,497,830 B2  | 3/2009  | Li                    | 2004/0122322 A1  | 6/2004  | Moore et al.               |
| 7,510,529 B2  | 3/2009  | Chou et al.           | 2004/0127793 A1  | 7/2004  | Mendlein et al.            |
| 7,514,851 B2  | 4/2009  | Wilser et al.         | 2004/0138565 A1  | 7/2004  | Trucco                     |
| 7,549,962 B2  | 6/2009  | Dreschel et al.       | 2004/0144176 A1  | 7/2004  | Yoden                      |
| 7,574,026 B2  | 8/2009  | Rasche et al.         | 2004/0236217 A1  | 11/2004 | Cerwin et al.              |
| 7,625,343 B2  | 12/2009 | Cao et al.            | 2004/0236223 A1  | 11/2004 | Barnes et al.              |
| 7,637,869 B2  | 12/2009 | Sudol                 | 2005/0004449 A1  | 1/2005  | Mitschke et al.            |
| 7,668,583 B2  | 2/2010  | Fegert et al.         | 2005/0053305 A1  | 3/2005  | Li et al.                  |
| 7,674,228 B2  | 3/2010  | Williams et al.       | 2005/0054910 A1  | 3/2005  | Tremblay et al.            |
| 7,682,311 B2  | 3/2010  | Simopoulos et al.     | 2005/0090743 A1  | 4/2005  | Kawashima et al.           |
| 7,699,776 B2  | 4/2010  | Walker et al.         | 2005/0090745 A1  | 4/2005  | Steen                      |
| 7,722,541 B2  | 5/2010  | Cai                   | 2005/0111846 A1  | 5/2005  | Steinbacher et al.         |
| 7,744,532 B2  | 6/2010  | Ustuner et al.        | 2005/0113689 A1  | 5/2005  | Gritzky                    |
| 7,750,311 B2  | 7/2010  | Daghighian            | 2005/0113694 A1  | 5/2005  | Haugen et al.              |
| 7,785,260 B2  | 8/2010  | Umemura et al.        | 2005/0124883 A1  | 6/2005  | Hunt                       |
| 7,787,680 B2  | 8/2010  | Ahn et al.            | 2005/0131300 A1  | 6/2005  | Bakircioglu et al.         |
| 7,806,828 B2  | 10/2010 | Stringer              | 2005/0147297 A1  | 7/2005  | McLaughlin et al.          |
| 7,819,810 B2  | 10/2010 | Stringer et al.       | 2005/0165312 A1  | 7/2005  | Knowles et al.             |
| 7,822,250 B2  | 10/2010 | Yao et al.            | 2005/0203404 A1  | 9/2005  | Freiburger                 |
| 7,824,337 B2  | 11/2010 | Abe et al.            | 2005/0215883 A1  | 9/2005  | Hundley et al.             |
| 7,833,163 B2  | 11/2010 | Cai                   | 2005/0240125 A1  | 10/2005 | Makin et al.               |
| 7,837,624 B1  | 11/2010 | Hossack et al.        | 2005/0252295 A1  | 11/2005 | Fink et al.                |
|               |         |                       | 2005/0281447 A1  | 12/2005 | Moreau-Gobard et al.       |
|               |         |                       | 2005/0288588 A1  | 12/2005 | Weber et al.               |
|               |         |                       | 2006/0062447 A1  | 3/2006  | Rinck et al.               |
|               |         |                       | 2006/0074313 A1  | 4/2006  | Slayton et al.             |

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

|              |      |         |                               |              |      |         |                           |
|--------------|------|---------|-------------------------------|--------------|------|---------|---------------------------|
| 2006/0074315 | A1   | 4/2006  | Liang et al.                  | 2009/0131797 | A1   | 5/2009  | Jeong et al.              |
| 2006/0074320 | A1   | 4/2006  | Yoo et al.                    | 2009/0143680 | A1   | 6/2009  | Yao et al.                |
| 2006/0079759 | A1   | 4/2006  | Vaillant et al.               | 2009/0148012 | A1   | 6/2009  | Altmann et al.            |
| 2006/0079778 | A1   | 4/2006  | Mo et al.                     | 2009/0150094 | A1   | 6/2009  | Van Velsor et al.         |
| 2006/0079782 | A1   | 4/2006  | Beach et al.                  | 2009/0182237 | A1   | 7/2009  | Angelsen et al.           |
| 2006/0094962 | A1   | 5/2006  | Clark                         | 2009/0198134 | A1   | 8/2009  | Hashimoto et al.          |
| 2006/0111634 | A1   | 5/2006  | Wu                            | 2009/0203997 | A1   | 8/2009  | Ustuner                   |
| 2006/0122506 | A1   | 6/2006  | Davies et al.                 | 2009/0208080 | A1   | 8/2009  | Grau et al.               |
| 2006/0173327 | A1   | 8/2006  | Kim                           | 2009/0259128 | A1   | 10/2009 | Stribling                 |
| 2006/0262291 | A1   | 11/2006 | Hess et al.                   | 2009/0264760 | A1   | 10/2009 | Lazebnik et al.           |
| 2006/0262961 | A1   | 11/2006 | Holsing et al.                | 2009/0306510 | A1   | 12/2009 | Hashiba et al.            |
| 2007/0016022 | A1   | 1/2007  | Blalock et al.                | 2009/0326379 | A1   | 12/2009 | Daigle et al.             |
| 2007/0016044 | A1   | 1/2007  | Blalock et al.                | 2010/0010354 | A1   | 1/2010  | Skerl et al.              |
| 2007/0036414 | A1   | 2/2007  | Georgescu et al.              | 2010/0016725 | A1   | 1/2010  | Thiele                    |
| 2007/0055155 | A1   | 3/2007  | Owen et al.                   | 2010/0063397 | A1   | 3/2010  | Wagner                    |
| 2007/0078345 | A1   | 4/2007  | Mo et al.                     | 2010/0063399 | A1   | 3/2010  | Walker et al.             |
| 2007/0088213 | A1   | 4/2007  | Poland                        | 2010/0069751 | A1   | 3/2010  | Hazard et al.             |
| 2007/0138157 | A1   | 6/2007  | Dane et al.                   | 2010/0069756 | A1   | 3/2010  | Ogasawara et al.          |
| 2007/0161898 | A1   | 7/2007  | Hao et al.                    | 2010/0106431 | A1   | 4/2010  | Baba et al.               |
| 2007/0161904 | A1   | 7/2007  | Urbano                        | 2010/0109481 | A1   | 5/2010  | Buccafusca                |
| 2007/0167752 | A1   | 7/2007  | Proulx et al.                 | 2010/0121193 | A1   | 5/2010  | Fukukita et al.           |
| 2007/0167824 | A1   | 7/2007  | Lee et al.                    | 2010/0121196 | A1   | 5/2010  | Hwang et al.              |
| 2007/0232914 | A1   | 10/2007 | Chen et al.                   | 2010/0130855 | A1   | 5/2010  | Lundberg et al.           |
| 2007/0238985 | A1   | 10/2007 | Smith et al.                  | 2010/0168566 | A1   | 7/2010  | Bercoff et al.            |
| 2007/0242567 | A1   | 10/2007 | Daft et al.                   | 2010/0168578 | A1   | 7/2010  | Garson, Jr. et al.        |
| 2008/0110261 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0174194 | A1   | 7/2010  | Chiang et al.             |
| 2008/0110263 | A1   | 5/2008  | Klessel et al.                | 2010/0217124 | A1   | 8/2010  | Cooley                    |
| 2008/0112265 | A1   | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.                 | 2010/0240994 | A1 * | 9/2010  | Zheng ..... 600/438       |
| 2008/0114241 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0249570 | A1   | 9/2010  | Carson et al.             |
| 2008/0114245 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0249596 | A1   | 9/2010  | Magee                     |
| 2008/0114246 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0256488 | A1   | 10/2010 | Kim et al.                |
| 2008/0114247 | A1   | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.                 | 2010/0262013 | A1 * | 10/2010 | Smith et al. .... 600/459 |
| 2008/0114248 | A1   | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.                 | 2010/0266176 | A1   | 10/2010 | Masumoto et al.           |
| 2008/0114249 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0286525 | A1   | 11/2010 | Osuni                     |
| 2008/0114250 | A1   | 5/2008  | Urbano et al.                 | 2010/0286527 | A1   | 11/2010 | Cannon et al.             |
| 2008/0114251 | A1   | 5/2008  | Weymer et al.                 | 2010/0310143 | A1   | 12/2010 | Rao et al.                |
| 2008/0114252 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0324418 | A1   | 12/2010 | El-Aklouk et al.          |
| 2008/0114253 | A1   | 5/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2010/0324423 | A1   | 12/2010 | El-Aklouk et al.          |
| 2008/0114255 | A1   | 5/2008  | Schwartz et al.               | 2010/0329521 | A1   | 12/2010 | Beymer et al.             |
| 2008/0125659 | A1   | 5/2008  | Wilser et al.                 | 2011/0005322 | A1   | 1/2011  | Ustuner                   |
| 2008/0181479 | A1   | 7/2008  | Yang et al.                   | 2011/0016977 | A1   | 1/2011  | Guracar                   |
| 2008/0183075 | A1   | 7/2008  | Govari et al.                 | 2011/0021920 | A1   | 1/2011  | Shafir et al.             |
| 2008/0188747 | A1   | 8/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2011/0021923 | A1   | 1/2011  | Daft et al.               |
| 2008/0188750 | A1   | 8/2008  | Randall et al.                | 2011/0033098 | A1   | 2/2011  | Richter et al.            |
| 2008/0194957 | A1   | 8/2008  | Hector et al.                 | 2011/0044133 | A1   | 2/2011  | Tokita                    |
| 2008/0194958 | A1   | 8/2008  | Lee et al.                    | 2011/0066030 | A1   | 3/2011  | Yao                       |
| 2008/0194959 | A1   | 8/2008  | Wang et al.                   | 2011/0098565 | A1   | 4/2011  | Masuzawa                  |
| 2008/0208061 | A1   | 8/2008  | Halmann                       | 2011/0112400 | A1   | 5/2011  | Emery et al.              |
| 2008/0242996 | A1   | 10/2008 | Hall et al.                   | 2011/0112404 | A1   | 5/2011  | Gourevitch                |
| 2008/0249408 | A1   | 10/2008 | Palmeri et al.                | 2011/0125017 | A1   | 5/2011  | Ramamurthy et al.         |
| 2008/0255452 | A1   | 10/2008 | Entrekin                      | 2011/0178400 | A1   | 7/2011  | Specht et al.             |
| 2008/0269604 | A1   | 10/2008 | Boctor et al.                 | 2011/0201933 | A1   | 8/2011  | Specht et al.             |
| 2008/0269613 | A1   | 10/2008 | Summers et al.                | 2011/0270088 | A1   | 11/2011 | Shiina                    |
| 2008/0275344 | A1   | 11/2008 | Glide-Hurst et al.            | 2011/0301468 | A1   | 12/2011 | Sandrin et al.            |
| 2008/0285819 | A1 * | 11/2008 | Konofagou et al. .... 382/128 | 2011/0301470 | A1   | 12/2011 | Sato et al.               |
| 2008/0287787 | A1   | 11/2008 | Sauer et al.                  | 2011/0306886 | A1   | 12/2011 | Daft et al.               |
| 2008/0294045 | A1   | 11/2008 | Ellington et al.              | 2011/0319764 | A1   | 12/2011 | Okada et al.              |
| 2008/0294050 | A1   | 11/2008 | Shinomura et al.              | 2012/0004545 | A1   | 1/2012  | Ziv-Ari et al.            |
| 2008/0294052 | A1   | 11/2008 | Wilser et al.                 | 2012/0035482 | A1   | 2/2012  | Kim et al.                |
| 2008/0306382 | A1   | 12/2008 | Guracar et al.                | 2012/0036934 | A1   | 2/2012  | Kröning et al.            |
| 2008/0306386 | A1   | 12/2008 | Baba et al.                   | 2012/0057428 | A1   | 3/2012  | Specht et al.             |
| 2008/0319317 | A1   | 12/2008 | Kamiyama et al.               | 2012/0065505 | A1   | 3/2012  | Jeong et al.              |
| 2009/0010459 | A1   | 1/2009  | Garbini et al.                | 2012/0085173 | A1   | 4/2012  | Papadopoulos et al.       |
| 2009/0012393 | A1   | 1/2009  | Choi                          | 2012/0095343 | A1   | 4/2012  | Smith et al.              |
| 2009/0016163 | A1   | 1/2009  | Freeman et al.                | 2012/0095347 | A1   | 4/2012  | Adam et al.               |
| 2009/0018445 | A1   | 1/2009  | Schers et al.                 | 2012/0101378 | A1   | 4/2012  | Lee                       |
| 2009/0024039 | A1   | 1/2009  | Wang et al.                   | 2012/0114210 | A1   | 5/2012  | Kim et al.                |
| 2009/0036780 | A1   | 2/2009  | Abraham                       | 2012/0116226 | A1   | 5/2012  | Specht                    |
| 2009/0043206 | A1   | 2/2009  | Towfiq et al.                 | 2012/0121150 | A1   | 5/2012  | Murashita                 |
| 2009/0048519 | A1   | 2/2009  | Hossack et al.                | 2012/0134233 | A1   | 5/2012  | Lin et al.                |
| 2009/0069681 | A1   | 3/2009  | Lundberg et al.               | 2012/0137778 | A1   | 6/2012  | Kitazawa et al.           |
| 2009/0069686 | A1   | 3/2009  | Daft et al.                   | 2012/0141002 | A1   | 6/2012  | Urbano et al.             |
| 2009/0069692 | A1   | 3/2009  | Cooley et al.                 | 2012/0165670 | A1   | 6/2012  | Shi et al.                |
| 2009/0099483 | A1   | 4/2009  | Rybyanets                     | 2012/0179044 | A1   | 7/2012  | Chiang et al.             |
| 2009/0112095 | A1   | 4/2009  | Daigle                        | 2012/0226201 | A1   | 9/2012  | Clark et al.              |
|              |      |         |                               | 2012/0235998 | A1   | 9/2012  | Smith-Casem et al.        |
|              |      |         |                               | 2012/0243763 | A1   | 9/2012  | Wen et al.                |
|              |      |         |                               | 2012/0253194 | A1   | 10/2012 | Tamura                    |
|              |      |         |                               | 2012/0265075 | A1   | 10/2012 | Pedrizetti et al.         |

(56)

**References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2012/0277585 A1 11/2012 Koenig et al.  
 2013/0035595 A1 2/2013 Specht  
 2013/0076207 A1 3/2013 Krohn et al.  
 2013/0079639 A1 3/2013 Hocht et al.  
 2013/0083628 A1 4/2013 Qiao et al.  
 2013/0088122 A1 4/2013 Krohn et al.  
 2013/0116561 A1 5/2013 Rothberg et al.  
 2013/0131516 A1 5/2013 Katsuyama  
 2013/0144165 A1 6/2013 Ebbini et al.  
 2013/0144166 A1 6/2013 Specht et al.  
 2013/0204136 A1 8/2013 Duric et al.  
 2013/0204137 A1 8/2013 Roy et al.  
 2013/0258805 A1 10/2013 Hansen et al.  
 2013/0261463 A1 10/2013 Chiang et al.  
 2014/0043933 A1 2/2014 Belevich et al.  
 2014/0243673 A1 8/2014 Anand et al.  
 2015/0045668 A1 2/2015 Smith et al.  
 2015/0297184 A1 10/2015 Specht

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EP 1949856 A1 7/2008  
 EP 2058796 A2 5/2009  
 EP 2101191 A2 9/2009  
 EP 2182352 A2 5/2010  
 EP 2187813 A1 5/2010  
 EP 2198785 A1 6/2010  
 EP 1757955 B1 11/2010  
 EP 2325672 A1 5/2011  
 EP 1462819 B1 7/2011  
 EP 2356941 A1 8/2011  
 EP 1979739 10/2011  
 EP 2385391 A2 11/2011  
 EP 2294400 2/2012  
 EP 2453256 A2 5/2012  
 EP 1840594 B1 6/2012  
 EP 2514368 A1 10/2012  
 EP 1850743 B1 12/2012  
 EP 1594404 B1 9/2013  
 EP 2026280 B1 10/2013  
 FR 2851662 A1 8/2004  
 JP S49-11189 A 1/1974  
 JP S54-44375 A 4/1979  
 JP S55-103839 A 8/1980  
 JP 57-31848 A 2/1982  
 JP 59-101143 A 6/1984  
 JP S59-174151 A 10/1984  
 JP S60-13109 U 1/1985  
 JP S60-68836 A 4/1985  
 JP 2-501431 A 5/1990  
 JP 4-67856 3/1992  
 JP 05-042138 A 2/1993  
 JP 6-125908 A 5/1994  
 JP 7-051266 A 2/1995  
 JP 08-252253 10/1996  
 JP 9-103429 A 4/1997  
 JP 9-201361 A 8/1997  
 JP 10-216128 A 8/1998  
 JP 11-089833 A 4/1999  
 JP 2001-245884 A 9/2001  
 JP 2002-209894 A 7/2002  
 JP 2002-253548 A 9/2002  
 JP 2002-253549 A 9/2002  
 JP 2004-167092 A 6/2004  
 JP 2004-215987 8/2004  
 JP 2004-337457 12/2004  
 JP 2004-351214 12/2004  
 JP 2005152187 A 6/2005  
 JP 2005-523792 8/2005  
 JP 2005-526539 9/2005  
 JP 2006-61203 A 3/2006  
 JP 2006-122657 A 5/2006  
 JP 2008-122209 5/2008  
 JP 2008-513763 A 5/2008

JP 2008-259541 A 10/2008  
 JP 20105375 1/2010  
 KR 1020090103408 A 10/2009  
 WO WO 92/18054 A1 10/1992  
 WO WO 98/00719 A2 1/1998  
 WO WO01/64109 A1 9/2001  
 WO WO02/084594 A2 10/2002  
 WO WO2005/009245 A1 2/2005  
 WO WO 2006/114735 A1 11/2006  
 WO WO 2007/127147 A2 11/2007  
 WO WO2009/060182 A2 5/2009  
 WO WO 2010/017445 A2 2/2010  
 WO WO 2010/095094 A1 8/2010  
 WO WO2011/057252 A1 5/2011  
 WO WO2011/064688 A1 6/2011  
 WO WO2011/100697 A1 8/2011  
 WO WO2011/123529 A1 10/2011  
 WO WO2011/126727 A2 10/2011  
 WO WO2011/126728 A2 10/2011  
 WO WO2011/126729 A2 10/2011  
 WO WO2012/028896 A1 3/2012  
 WO WO2012/049124 A2 4/2012  
 WO WO2012/049612 A2 4/2012  
 WO WO2012/078639 A1 6/2012  
 WO WO2012/091280 A1 7/2012  
 WO WO2012/112540 A2 8/2012  
 WO WO2012/131340 A2 10/2012  
 WO WO2012/160541 A2 11/2012  
 WO WO2013/059358 A2 4/2013  
 WO WO2013/109965 A1 7/2013  
 WO WO2013/116807 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116809 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116851 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116854 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/116866 A1 8/2013  
 WO WO2013/128301 A2 9/2013

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

“Point Cloud” Jan. 22, 2012, Wikipedia.\*  
 Li et al., “An Efficient Speckle Tracking Algorithm for Ultrasonic Imaging,” Oct. 1, 2002, Ultrasonic Imaging, 24, p. 215-228.\*  
 “Curve fitting,” Dec. 19, 2010, Wikipedia. <[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curve\\_fitting](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curve_fitting)>.\*  
 “How can I analyze a subset of my data?” Nov. 26, 2001, UCLA Academic Technology Services. <[http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/spss/modules/subset\\_analyze.htm](http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/spss/modules/subset_analyze.htm)>.\*  
 “Speed of sound,” Feb. 15, 2011, Wikipedia, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed\\_of\\_sound](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed_of_sound).  
 Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/279,052 entitled “Ultrasound imaging using apparent point-source transmit transducer,” filed May 15, 2014.  
 Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 13/894,192 entitled “Multiple Aperture Ultrasound Array Alignment Fixture,” filed May 14, 2013.  
 Cristianini et al.; An Introduction to Support Vector Machines; Cambridge University Press; pp. 93-111; Mar. 2000.  
 Du et al.; User parameter free approaches to multistatic adaptive ultrasound imaging; 5th IEEE International Symposium; pp. 1287-1290, May 2008.  
 Feigenbaum, Harvey, M.D.; Echocardiography; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; Philadelphia; 5th Ed.; pp. 428, 484; Feb. 1994.  
 Haykin, Simon; Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation (2nd Ed.); Prentice Hall; pp. 156-187; Jul. 16, 1998.  
 Kramb et al.; Considerations for using phased array ultrasonics in a fully automated inspection system. Review of Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation, vol. 23, ed. D. O. Thompson and D. E. Chimenti, pp. 817-825, (year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date) 2004.  
 Ledesma-Carbayo et al.; Spatio-temporal nonrigid registration for ultrasound cardiac motion estimation; IEEE Trans. on Medical Imaging; vol. 24; No. 9; Sep. 2005.  
 Leotta et al.; Quantitative three-dimensional echocardiography by rapid imaging . . . ; J American Society of Echocardiography; vol. 10; No. 8; ppl 830-839; Oct. 1997.  
 Morrison et al.; A probabilistic neural network based image segmentation network for magnetic resonance images; Proc. Conf. Neural Networks; Baltimore, MD; vol. 3; pp. 60-65; Jun. 1992.

(56)

**References Cited****OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

- Nadkarni et al.; Cardiac motion synchronization for 3D cardiac ultrasound imaging; Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Western Ontario; Jun. 2002.
- Press et al.; Cubic spline interpolation; §3.3 in "Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN: The Art of Scientific Computing", 2nd Ed.; Cambridge, England; Cambridge University Press; pp. 107-110; Sep. 1992.
- Sakas et al.; Preprocessing and volume rendering of 3D ultrasonic data; IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications; pp. 47-54, Jul. 1995.
- Sapia et al.; Deconvolution of ultrasonic waveforms using an adaptive wiener filter; Review of Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation; vol. 13A; Plenum Press; pp. 855-862; (year of publication is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date) 1994.
- Sapia et al.; Ultrasound image deconvolution using adaptive inverse filtering; 12 IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems, CBMS, pp. 248-253; Jun. 1999.
- Sapia, Mark Angelo; Multi-dimensional deconvolution of optical microscope and ultrasound imaging using adaptive least-mean-square (LMS) inverse filtering; Ph.D. Dissertation; University of Connecticut; Jan. 2000.
- Smith et al.; High-speed ultrasound volumetric imaging system. 1. Transducer design and beam steering; IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Contr.; vol. 38; pp. 100-108; Mar. 1991.
- Specht et al.; Deconvolution techniques for digital longitudinal tomography; SPIE; vol. 454; presented at Application of Optical Instrumentation in Medicine XII; pp. 319-325; Jun. 1984.
- Specht et al.; Experience with adaptive PNN and adaptive GRNN; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. on Neural Networks; vol. 2; pp. 1203-1208; Orlando, FL; Jun. 1994.
- Specht, D.F.; A general regression neural network; IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks; vol. 2; No. 6; Nov. 1991.
- Specht, D.F.; Blind deconvolution of motion blur using LMS inverse filtering; Lockheed Independent Research (unpublished); Jun. 23, 1975.
- Specht, D.F.; Enhancements to probabilistic neural networks; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. on Neural Networks; Baltimore, MD; Jun. 1992.
- Specht, D.F.; GRNN with double clustering; Proc. IEEE International Joint Conf. Neural Networks; Vancouver, Canada; Jul. 16-21, 2006.
- Specht, D.F.; Probabilistic neural networks; Pergamon Press; Neural Networks; vol. 3; pp. 109-118; Feb. 1990.
- Von Ramm et al.; High-speed ultrasound volumetric imaging-System. 2. Parallel processing and Image display; IEEE Trans. Ultrason., Ferroelect., Freq. Contr.; vol. 38; pp. 109-115; Mar. 1991.
- Wells, P.N.T.; Biomedical ultrasonics; Academic Press; London, New York, San Francisco; pp. 124-125; Mar. 1977.
- Widrow et al.; Adaptive signal processing; Prentice-Hall; Englewood Cliffs, NJ; pp. 99-116; Mar. 1985.
- Brewer et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,346 entitled "M-Mode Ultrasound Imaging of Arbitrary Paths," filed Dec. 28, 2012.
- Call et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 13/850,823 entitled "Systems and methods for improving ultrasound image quality by applying weighting factors," filed Mar. 26, 2013.
- Call et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 13/971,689 entitled "Ultrasound Imaging System Memory Architecture," filed Aug. 20, 2013.
- Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/078,311 entitled "Imaging with Multiple Aperture Medical Ultrasound and Synchronization of Add-On Systems," filed Nov. 12, 2013.
- Specht, D. F.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/157,257 entitled "Method and Apparatus to Produce Ultrasonic Images Using Multiple Apertures," filed Jan. 16, 2014.
- Smith et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/210,015 entitled "Alignment of ultrasound transducer arrays and multiple aperture probe assembly," filed Mar. 13, 2014.
- Smith et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/595,083 entitled "Concave ultrasound transducers and 3D arrays," filed Jan. 12, 2015.
- Korstanje et al.; Development and validation of ultrasound speckle tracking to quantify tendon displacement; J Biomech; 43(7); pp. 1373-1379; May 2010 (Abstract Only).
- Liu et al.; Blood flow velocity estimation from ultrasound speckle tracking using chirp signals; IEEE 3rd Int'l Conf. on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering (ICBBE 2009); Beijing, China; 4 pgs.; Jun. 11-13, 2009 (Abstract Only).
- Mondillo et al.; Speckle-Tracking Echocardiography; J ultrasound Med; 30(1); pp. 71-83; Jan. 2011.
- Swillens et al.; Two-dimensional blood velocity estimation with ultrasound: speckle tracking versus crossed-beam vector Doppler based on flow simulations in a carotid bifurcation model; IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control; 57(2); pp. 327-339; Feb. 2010 (Abstract Only).
- Abeysekera et al.; Alignment and calibration of dual ultrasound transducers using a wedge phantom; Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology; 37(2); pp. 271-279; Feb. 2011.
- Carson et al.; Measurement of photoacoustic transducer position by robotic source placement and nonlinear parameter estimation; Biomedical Optics (BiOS); International Society for Optics and Photonics (9th Conf. on Biomedical Thermoacoustics, Optoacoustics, and Acousto-optics; vol. 6856; 9 pages; Feb. 28, 2008.
- Chen et al.; Maximum-likelihood source localization and unknown sensor location estimation for wideband signals in the near-field; IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing; 50(8); pp. 1843-1854; Aug. 2002.
- Chen et al.; Source localization and tracking of a wideband source using a randomly distributed beamforming sensor array; International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications; 16(3); pp. 259-272; Fall 2002.
- Fernandez et al.; High resolution ultrasound beamforming using synthetic and adaptive imaging techniques; Proceedings IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging; Washington, D.C.; pp. 433-436; Jul. 7-10, 2002.
- Gazor et al.; Wideband multi-source beamforming with array location calibration and direction finding; Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing ICASSP-95; Detroit, MI; vol. 3 IEEE; pp. 1904-1907; May 9-12, 1995.
- Heikkila et al.; A four-step camera calibration procedure with implicit image correction; Proceedings IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition; San Juan; pp. 1106-1112; Jun. 17-19, 1997.
- Hsu et al.; Real-time freehand 3D ultrasound calibration; CUED/F-INFENG/TR 565; Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom; 14 pages; Sep. 2006.
- Khamene et al.; A novel phantom-less spatial and temporal ultrasound calibration method; Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI (Proceedings 8th Int. Conf.); Springer Berlin Heidelberg; Palm Springs, CA; pp. 65-72; Oct. 26-29, 2005.
- Slavine et al.; Construction, calibration and evaluation of a tissue phantom with reproducible optical properties for investigations in light emission tomography; Engineering in Medicine and Biology Workshop; Dallas, TX; IEEE pp. 122-125; Nov. 11-12, 2007.
- Urban et al.; Implementation of vibro-acoustography on a clinical ultrasound system; IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control; 58(6); pp. 1169-1181; Jun. 2011 (Author Manuscript).
- Urban et al.; Implementation of vibro-acoustography on a clinical ultrasound system; IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS); pp. 326-329; Oct. 14, 2010.
- Wang et al.; Photoacoustic tomography of biological tissues with high cross-section resolution: reconstruction and experiment; Medical Physics; 29(12); pp. 2799-2805; Dec. 2002.
- Yang et al.; Time-of-arrival calibration for improving the microwave breast cancer imaging; 2011 IEEE Topical Conf. on Biomedical Wireless Technologies, Networks, and Sensing Systems (BioWireless); Phoenix, AZ; pp. 67-70; Jan. 16-19, 2011.
- Specht et al.; U.S. Appl. No. 14/846,374 entitled "Point source transmission and speed-of-sound correction using multi-aperture ultrasound imaging," filed Sep. 4, 2015.

(56)

**References Cited**



OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Opretzka et al.; A high-frequency ultrasound imaging system combining limited-angle spatial compounding and model-based synthetic aperture focusing; IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Fer-



roelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE, US; 58(7); pp. 1355-1365; Jul. 2, 2011.


Jeffs; Beamforming: a brief introduction; Brigham Young University; 14 pages; retrieved from the inter (<http://ens.ewi.tudelft.nl/Education/courses/et4235/Beamforming.pdf>); Oct. 2004.

\* cited by examiner









**FIG. 4**




FIG. 5





FIG. 6





**FIG. 7**

FIG. 8



1

# DETERMINING MATERIAL STIFFNESS USING MULTIPLE APERTURE ULTRASOUND

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/601,482, filed Feb. 21, 2012, which application is incorporated by reference herein.

This application is also related to the following U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/865,501, filed Oct. 1, 2007 and titled "Method And Apparatus To Produce Ultrasonic Images Using Multiple Apertures"; Ser. No. 12/760,375, filed Apr. 14, 2010, published as 2010/0262013 and titled "Universal Multiple Aperture Medical Ultrasound Probe"; Ser. No. 12/760,327, filed Apr. 14, 2010 and titled "Multiple Aperture Ultrasound Array Alignment Fixture"; Ser. No. 13/279,110, filed Oct. 21, 2011 and titled "Calibration of Ultrasound Probes"; Ser. No. 13/272,098, filed Oct. 12, 2011 and titled "Multiple Aperture Probe Internal Apparatus and Cable Assemblies"; Ser. No. 13/272,105, filed Oct. 12, 2011 and titled "Concave Ultrasound Transducers and 3D Arrays"; Ser. No. 13/029,907, filed Feb. 17, 2011 and titled "Point Source Transmission And Speed-Of-Sound Correction Using Multiple Aperture Ultrasound Imaging"; and Ser. No. 13/690,989, filed Nov. 30, 2012 and titled "Motion Detection Using Ping-Based and Multiple Aperture Doppler Ultrasound."

## INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

## FIELD

This disclosure generally relates to imaging methods and devices for determining a material stiffness using a multiple aperture ultrasound probe to produce and track ultrasonic shear waves.

## BACKGROUND

Changes in tissue stiffness have long been associated with disease. Traditionally, palpation is one of the primary methods of detecting and characterizing tissue pathologies. It is well known that a hard mass within an organ is often a sign of an abnormality. Several diagnostic imaging techniques have recently been developed to provide for non-invasive characterization of tissue stiffness.

One measure of tissue stiffness is a physical quantity called Young's modulus, which is typically expressed in units of Pascals, or more commonly kilo Pascals (kPa). If an external uniform compression (or stress,  $S$ ) is applied to a solid tissue and this induces a deformation (or strain,  $e$ ) of the tissue, Young's modulus is defined simply as the ratio between applied stress and the induced strain:

$$E=S/e.$$

Hard tissues have a higher Young's modulus than soft tissues. Being able to measure the Young's modulus of a tissue helps a physician in differentiating between benign and malignant tumors, detecting liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, detecting prostate cancer lesions, etc.

2

A collection of diagnostic and imaging modalities and processing techniques have been developed to allow clinicians to evaluate tissue stiffness using elastography. These techniques are collectively referred to herein as Elastography. In addition to providing information about tissue stiffness, some elastography techniques may also be used to reveal other stiffness properties of tissue, such as axial strain, lateral strain, Poisson's Ratio, and other common strain and strain-related parameters. Any of these or other strain-related parameters may be displayed in shaded grayscale or color displays to provide visual representations of such strain-related parameters. Such information may be displayed in relation to two or three dimensional data.

Elastography techniques may be broadly divided into two categories, "quasi-static elastography" techniques and "dynamic elastography" techniques.

In quasi-static elastography, tissue strain is induced by mechanical compression of a tissue region of interest, such as by pressing against a tissue with a probe a hand or other device. In other cases, strain may be induced by compression caused by muscular action or the movement of adjacent organs. Images of the tissue region of interest are then obtained in two (or more) quasi-static states, for example, no compression and a given positive compression. Strain may be deduced from these two images by computing gradients of the relative local shifts or displacements in the images along the compression axis. Quasi-static elastography is analogous to a physician's palpation of tissue in which the physician determines stiffness by pressing the tissue and detecting the amount the tissue yields under this pressure.

In dynamic elastography, a low-frequency vibration is applied to the tissue and the speed of resulting tissue vibrations is detected. Because the speed of the resulting low-frequency wave is related to the stiffness of the tissue in which it travels, the stiffness of a tissue may be approximated from wave propagation speed.

Many existing dynamic elastography techniques use ultrasound Doppler imaging methods to detect the speed of the propagating vibrations. However, inherent limitations in standard Doppler imaging present substantial challenges when attempting to measure the desired propagation speed. This is at least partly because the waves of most interest tend to have a significant propagation component in a direction perpendicular to the direction of the initial low-frequency vibration.

As used herein, the term dynamic elastography may include a wide range of techniques, including Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse imaging (ARFI); Virtual Touch Tissue Imaging; Shearwave Dispersion Ultrasound Vibrometry (SDUV); Harmonic Motion Imaging (HMI); Supersonic Shear Imaging (SSI); Spatially Modulated Ultrasound Radiation Force (SMURF) imaging.

## SUMMARY OF THE DISCLOSURE

Performing Elastography with a multiple aperture ultrasound imaging (MAUI) probe provides unique advantages over prior systems and methods. For example, in some embodiments, high resolution and high frame-rate imaging capabilities of a multiple aperture probe may be combined in order to detect a propagating shear wave as perturbations in image frames. In other embodiments, multiple aperture Doppler imaging techniques may be used to determine a speed of a propagating shear wave. In some embodiments, either or both of these techniques may further benefit from pixel-based imaging techniques and point-source transmission techniques.



3

In some embodiments, an ultrasound imaging system is provided, comprising a first ultrasound transducer array configured to transmit a wavefront that induces a propagating shear wave in a region of interest, a second ultrasound transducer array configured to transmit circular waveforms into the region of interest and receive echoes of the circular waveforms, and a signal processor configured to form a plurality of B-mode images of the region of interest from the circular waveforms at a frame rate sufficient to detect the propagating shear wave in the region of interest.

In some embodiments, the first ultrasound transducer array comprises an array of phased-array elements. In other embodiments, the first ultrasound transducer array comprises an annular array of piezoelectric rings, and the signal processor is further configured to focus the wavefront at various depths by adjusting phasing delays. In another embodiment, the first ultrasound transducer array comprises a switched ring transducer. In yet an additional embodiment, the first ultrasound transducer array comprises a single piezoelectric transducer.

In some embodiments, the frame rate can be at least 500 fps, at least 1,000 fps, at least 2,000 fps, or at least 4,000 fps.

In one embodiment, the signal processor is further configured to calculate a speed of the propagating shear wave by identifying a first position of the shear wave in a first frame of the plurality of B-mode images, identifying a second position of the shear wave in a second frame of the plurality of B-mode images, determining a distance traveled by the shear wave between the first frame and the second frame, determining a time elapsed between the first frame and the second frame, and dividing the distance traveled by the time elapsed.

In some embodiments, the first frame is the result of combining sub-images formed by echoes received by multiple elements of the second ultrasound transducer array.

In another embodiment, the signal processor is configured to identify the propagating shear wave as a point cloud moving through the region of interest.

In one embodiment, the signal processor is configured to define an image window identifying a section of the region of interest with a combination of zooming, panning, and depth selection.

In some embodiments, the system is configured to display a contemporaneous B-mode image of a selected image window.

A method of determining a stiffness of a tissue with ultrasound is provided, the method comprising the steps of forming a baseline image of a region of interest with an ultrasound imaging system, transmitting an ultrasonic pulse configured to induce a propagating shear wave in the region of interest, imaging the region of interest at a frame rate sufficient to detect the propagating shear wave to form a plurality of image frames of the region of interest, subtracting the baseline image from at least two of the formed image frames to obtain at least two difference frames, determining a position of the propagating shear wave in the at least two difference frames, and calculating a propagation speed of the propagating shear wave in the region of interest from the positions in the at least two difference frames.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises calculating a tissue stiffness of the region of interest from the propagation speed.

In one embodiment, the transmitting step comprises transmitting an ultrasonic pulse with a first ultrasound transducer array, and wherein the imaging step comprises imaging the region of interest with a second ultrasound transducer array.

4

In another embodiment, the forming step comprises transmitting a circular waveform from a first transmit aperture and receiving echoes on a first receive aperture.

In yet another embodiment, the imaging step comprises transmitting a circular waveform from the first transmit aperture and receiving echoes of the circular waveform with the first receive aperture.

In some embodiments, the first transmit aperture and the first receive aperture do not include overlapping transducer elements.

In another embodiment, the frame rate is at least 500 fps, at least 1,000 fps, at least 2,000 fps, or at least 4,000 fps.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises identifying the propagating shear wave as a point cloud moving through the region of interest.

In another embodiment, the method further comprises displaying a contemporaneous image of the region of interest, including a line indicating a direction of transmission of the ultrasonic pulse configured to induce a propagating shear wave.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features of the invention are set forth with particularity in the claims that follow. A better understanding of the features and advantages of the present invention will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description that sets forth illustrative embodiments, in which the principles of the invention are utilized, and the accompanying drawings of which:

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of one embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound elastography probe and a propagating shear wave in a region of interest within a viscoelastic medium.

FIG. 2 is a schematic illustration of an embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound elastography probe having one shear wave initiating transducer array and four imaging transducer arrays.

FIG. 3 is a schematic illustration of an embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound elastography probe having one shear wave initiating transducer array and two concave curved imaging transducer arrays.

FIG. 3A is an illustration of an embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound elastography probe having a section of a continuous concave curved array designated as a shear-wave pulse initiating area.

FIG. 3B is an illustration of an embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound elastography probe comprising a continuous 2D concave transducer array configured for 3D imaging with one group of elements designated as a shear-wave pulse initiating area.

FIG. 4 is a schematic illustration of an annular array which may be used for the shear wave initiating transducer array or one or more of the imaging transducer arrays.

FIG. 5 is a flow chart illustrating one embodiment of a high resolution multiple aperture imaging process.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating one embodiment of a high frame rate multiple aperture imaging process.

FIG. 7 is a flow chart illustrating one embodiment of an elastography data capture process.

FIG. 8 is an example of a difference frame showing perturbation caused by a propagating shear wave.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The various embodiments will be described in detail with reference to the accompanying drawings. References made to

particular examples and implementations are for illustrative purposes, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention or the claims.

In some embodiments, ultrasound imaging methods are provided in which a mechanical wave having a shear component and a compression component is generated in a viscoelastic medium (such as biological tissue). The speed of the resulting shear wave propagation may be measured while imaging the medium at a high frame-rate as the shear wave propagates through the medium. Speed of the propagating shear may be determined by identifying the changing position of the shear wave in a plurality of frames obtained at known time intervals. As will be described in further detail below, various embodiments of ping-based and multiple aperture ultrasound imaging are particularly well-suited to obtaining high resolution and high frame-rate images for performing accurate analysis of tissue stiffness using these methods. In some embodiments a qualitative and/or quantitative analysis of received echo data may be performed to identify regions of different hardness as compared with the rest of the viscoelastic medium.

Embodiments herein provide systems and methods for performing ultrasound elastography to determine the shear modulus of a tissue. In some embodiments, a method of determining a shear modulus comprises transmitting a mechanical shear wave into a test medium, then imaging the test medium using a high frame rate B-mode ultrasound imaging technique as the shear wave propagates through the medium. By comparing each image frame taken during propagation of the shear wave with a reference image generated prior to transmitting the shear wave, a propagation velocity may be determined.

Although the various embodiments are described herein with reference to imaging and evaluating stiffness of various anatomic structures, it will be understood that many of the methods and devices shown and described herein may also be used in other applications, such as imaging and evaluating non-anatomic structures and objects. For example, the ultrasound probes, systems and methods described herein may be adapted for use in non-destructive testing or evaluation of various mechanical objects, structural objects or materials, such as welds, pipes, beams, plates, pressure vessels, layered structures, soil, earth, concrete, etc. Therefore, references herein to medical or anatomic imaging targets, tissues, or organs are provided merely as non-limiting examples of the nearly infinite variety of targets that may be imaged or evaluated using the various apparatus and techniques described herein.

#### Introduction to Key Terms & Concepts

As used herein the terms “ultrasound transducer” and “transducer” may carry their ordinary meanings as understood by those skilled in the art of ultrasound imaging technologies, and may refer without limitation to any single component capable of converting an electrical signal into an ultrasonic signal and/or vice versa. For example, in some embodiments, an ultrasound transducer may comprise a piezoelectric device. In other embodiments, ultrasound transducers may comprise capacitive micromachined ultrasound transducers (CMUT).

Transducers are often configured in arrays of multiple individual transducer elements. As used herein, the terms “transducer array” or “array” generally refers to a collection of transducer elements mounted to a common backing plate. Such arrays may have one dimension (1D), two dimensions (2D), 1.X dimensions (e.g., 1.5D, 1.75D, etc.) or three dimensions (3D) (such arrays may be used for imaging in 2D, 3D or 4D imaging modes). Other dimensioned arrays as understood

by those skilled in the art may also be used. Annular arrays, such as concentric circular arrays and elliptical arrays may also be used. An element of a transducer array may be the smallest discretely functional component of an array. For example, in the case of an array of piezoelectric transducer elements, each element may be a single piezoelectric crystal or a single machined section of a piezoelectric crystal.

As used herein, the terms “transmit element” and “receive element” may carry their ordinary meanings as understood by those skilled in the art of ultrasound imaging technologies. The term “transmit element” may refer without limitation to an ultrasound transducer element which at least momentarily performs a transmit function in which an electrical signal is converted into an ultrasound signal. Similarly, the term “receive element” may refer without limitation to an ultrasound transducer element which at least momentarily performs a receive function in which an ultrasound signal impinging on the element is converted into an electrical signal. Transmission of ultrasound into a medium may also be referred to herein as “insonifying.” An object or structure which reflects ultrasound waves may be referred to as a “reflector” or a “scatterer.”

As used herein, the term “aperture” may refer to a conceptual “opening” through which ultrasound signals may be sent and/or received. In actual practice, an aperture is simply a single transducer element or a group of transducer elements that are collectively managed as a common group by imaging control electronics. For example, in some embodiments an aperture may be a physical grouping of elements which may be physically separated from elements of an adjacent aperture. However, adjacent apertures need not necessarily be physically separated.

It should be noted that the terms “receive aperture,” “insonifying aperture,” and/or “transmit aperture” are used herein to mean an individual element, a group of elements within an array, or even entire arrays with in a common housing, that perform the desired transmit or receive function from a desired physical viewpoint or aperture. In some embodiments, such transmit and receive apertures may be created as physically separate components with dedicated functionality. In other embodiments, any number of send and/or receive apertures may be dynamically defined electronically as needed. In other embodiments, a multiple aperture ultrasound imaging system may use a combination of dedicated-function and dynamic-function apertures.

As used herein, the term “total aperture” refers to the total cumulative size of all imaging apertures. In other words, the term “total aperture” may refer to one or more dimensions defined by a maximum distance between the furthest-most transducer elements of any combination of send and/or receive elements used for a particular imaging cycle. Thus, the total aperture is made up of any number of sub-apertures designated as send or receive apertures for a particular cycle. In the case of a single-aperture imaging arrangement, the total aperture, sub-aperture, transmit aperture, and receive aperture will all have the same dimensions. In the case of a multiple aperture imaging arrangement, the dimensions of the total aperture includes the sum of the dimensions of all send and receive apertures.

In some embodiments, two apertures may be located adjacent one another on a continuous array. In still other embodiments, two apertures may overlap one another on a continuous array, such that at least one element functions as part of two separate apertures. The location, function, number of elements and physical size of an aperture may be defined dynamically in any manner needed for a particular applica-

tion. Constraints on these parameters for a particular application will be discussed below and/or will be clear to the skilled artisan.

Elements and arrays described herein may also be multi-function. That is, the designation of transducer elements or arrays as transmitters in one instance does not preclude their immediate redesignation as receivers in the next instance. Moreover, embodiments of the control system herein include the capabilities for making such designations electronically based on user inputs, pre-set scan or resolution criteria, or other automatically determined criteria.

#### Inducing Shear Waves

The propagation velocity of shear waves in tissue is related to the stiffness (Young's modulus or shear modulus) and density of tissue by the following equation:

$$E=3\rho\cdot c^2$$

where  $c$  is the propagation velocity of shear wave,  $E$  is Young's modulus, and  $\rho$  is the tissue density. Because the density of tissues tends to vary minimally, and because the speed term is squared, elasticity may be calculated by assuming an approximate density value and measuring only the speed of shear wave propagation. In some cases, the assumed density value may vary depending on known information about the tissue being imaged, such as an approximate range of densities for known organ tissues. For example, liver tissue may have a density of approximately 1.05 kg/l, heart tissue may be about 1.03 kg/l, and skeletal muscle tissue may be about 1.04 kg/l. Variations in tissue elasticity are known to be associated with various disease states. Therefore, cancers or other pathological conditions may be detected in tissue by measuring the propagation velocity of shear waves passing through the tissue.

In some embodiments, a shear wave may be created within tissue by applying a strong ultrasound pulse to the tissue. In some embodiments, the shear wave generating ultrasound pulse (also referred to herein as an "initiating" pulse or an "init" pulse) may exhibit a high amplitude and a long duration (e.g., on the order of 100 microseconds). The ultrasound pulse may generate an acoustic radiation force to push the tissue, thereby causing layers of tissue to slide along the direction of the ultrasound pulse. These sliding (shear) movements of tissue may be considered shear waves, which are of low frequencies (e.g., from 10 to 500 Hz) and may propagate in a direction perpendicular to the direction of the ultrasound pulse.

Ultrasound shear waves typically result in only a few microns of tissue displacement. Since this amount is less than the resolution of most imaging systems, detecting the displacement carries additional challenges. In some embodiments, tissue displacement induced by shear waves may be detected in terms of the phase shift of the return of B-mode imaging echoes.

The propagation speed of a shear wave is typically on the order of about 1 to 10 m/s (corresponding to tissue elasticity from 1 to 300 kPa). Consequently, a propagating shear wave may cross a 6 cm wide ultrasound image plane in about 6 to 60 milliseconds. Thus, in order to collect at least three images of a fast-moving shear waves in a 6 cm wide image, a frame rate of at least 500 frames per second may be required. Most current radiology ultrasound systems refresh a complete image only every 17 to 33 milliseconds (corresponding to frame rates of about 58 to about 30 frames per second), which is too slow to image a propagating shear wave because the shear wave will have disappeared from the field of view before a single frame can be acquired. In order to capture

shear waves in sufficient detail, frame rates of a thousand or more images per second are needed.

#### High Frame Rate Ultrasound Imaging

The frame rate of a scanline-based ultrasound imaging system is the pulse-repetition frequency (PRF, which is limited by the round-trip travel time of ultrasound in the imaged medium) divided by the number of scanlines per frame. Typical scanline-based ultrasound imaging systems use between about 64 and about 192 scanlines per frame, resulting in typical frame rates of only about 50 frames per second.

By using ping-based ultrasound imaging techniques, some ultrasound imaging systems and methods are capable of achieving frame rates on the order of thousands of frames per second. Some embodiments of such systems and methods are able to obtain an entire 2D image from a single transmit pulse, and can achieve a pulse rate (and therefore, a frame rate) of 4000 per second or higher when imaging to a depth of 18 cm. With this refresh rate it is possible to capture a shear wave at increments of about 2.5 mm of travel for the fastest waves, and even shorter increments for slower shear waves. When imaging at shallower depths, even higher frame rates may be achieved. For example, when imaging at a depth of 2 cm, a ping-based ultrasound imaging system may achieve a pulse rate (and therefore, a frame rate) of about 75,000 frames per second. Still higher frame rates may be achieved by transmitting overlapping pulses or pings (e.g., as described below).

In contrast to conventional scanline-based phased array ultrasound imaging systems, some embodiments of multiple aperture ultrasound imaging systems may use point source transmission during the transmit pulse. An ultrasound wavefront transmitted from a point source (also referred to herein as a "ping" or an unfocused ultrasound wavefront) illuminates the entire region of interest with each circular or spherical wavefront. Echoes received from a single ping received by a single receive transducer element may be beamformed to form a complete image of the insonified region of interest. Combining data and images from multiple receive transducers across a wide probe, and combining data from multiple pings, very high resolution images may be obtained. Moreover, such a system allows for imaging at a very high frame rate, since the frame rate is limited only by the ping repetition frequency—i.e., the inverse of the round-trip travel time of a transmitted wavefront travelling between a transmit transducer element, a maximum-depth reflector, and a furthest receive transducer element. In some embodiments, the frame rate of a ping-based imaging system may be equal to the ping repetition frequency alone. In other embodiments, if it is desired to form a frame from more than one ping, the frame rate of a ping-based imaging system may be equal to the ping repetition frequency divided by the number of pings per frame.

As used herein the terms "point source transmission" and "ping" may refer to an introduction of transmitted ultrasound energy into a medium from a single spatial location. This may be accomplished using a single ultrasound transducer element or combination of adjacent transducer elements transmitting together. A single transmission from said element(s) may approximate a uniform spherical wave front, or in the case of imaging a 2D slice it creates a uniform circular wave front within the 2D slice. In some cases, a single transmission of a circular or spherical wave front from a point source transmit aperture may be referred to herein as a "ping" or a "point source pulse" or an "unfocused pulse."

Point source transmission differs in its spatial characteristics from a scanline-based "phased array transmission" or a "directed pulse transmission" which focuses energy in a particular direction (along a scanline) from the transducer ele-

ment array. Phased array transmission manipulates the phase of a group of transducer elements in sequence so as to strengthen or steer an insonifying wave to a specific region of interest.

In some embodiments, multiple aperture imaging using a series of transmit pings may operate by transmitting a point-source ping from a first transmit aperture and receiving echoes of the transmitted ping with elements of two or more receive apertures. A complete image may be formed by triangulating the position of reflectors based on delay times between transmission and receiving echoes. As a result, each receive aperture may form a complete image from echoes of each transmitted ping. In some embodiments, a single time domain frame may be formed by combining images formed from echoes received at two or more receive apertures from a single transmitted ping. In other embodiments, a single time domain frame may be formed by combining images formed from echoes received at one or more receive apertures from two or more transmitted pings. In some such embodiments, the multiple transmitted pings may originate from different transmit apertures.

“Beamforming” is generally understood to be a process by which imaging signals received at multiple discrete receptors are combined to form a complete coherent image. The process of ping-based beamforming is consistent with this understanding. Embodiments of ping-based beamforming generally involve determining the position of reflectors corresponding to portions of received echo data based on the path along which an ultrasound signal may have traveled, an assumed-constant speed of sound and the elapsed time between a transmit ping and the time at which an echo is received. In other words, ping-based imaging involves a calculation of distance based on an assumed speed and a measured time. Once such a distance has been calculated, it is possible to triangulate the possible positions of any given reflector. This distance calculation is made possible with accurate information about the relative positions of transmit and receive transducer elements and the speed-of-ultrasound in the imaged medium. As discussed in Applicants’ previous applications referenced above, multiple aperture and other probes may be calibrated to determine the acoustic position of each transducer element to at least a desired degree of accuracy, and such element position information may be digitally stored in a location accessible to the imaging or beamforming system.

FIG. 1 schematically illustrates one embodiment of a multiple aperture ultrasound probe **10** configured for performing elastography. The probe **10** of FIG. 1 includes two imaging transducer arrays **14**, **16** and one shear wave initiating transducer array, which is referred to herein as an “init” transmit transducer array **12**. An init transducer array may be configured for transmitting a relatively low frequency shear-wave initiating pulse (also referred to herein as an “init pulse”).

The probe **10** may also be configured to be connected to an electronic controller **100** configured to electronically control transmitted and received ultrasonic signals. The controller may be configured to transmit phased array or ping ultrasound signals, to receive and process echoes received by the imaging transducer arrays, to perform a receive beamforming process, and to form B-mode images from the received and processed echoes. The controller **100** may also be configured to control transmission of shear wavefronts from the init array, and may be configured to determine a position of a shear wave and an elasticity of tissue in a region of interest according to any of the embodiments described herein. The controller **100** may also be configured to control image formation, image processing, echo data storage, or any other process,

including the various methods and processes described herein. In some embodiments, some or all of the controller **100** can be incorporated into the probe. In other embodiments, the controller can be electronically coupled to the probe (e.g., by a wired or wireless electronic communication method), but physically separate from the probe itself. In still further embodiments, one or more separate additional controllers may be electronically connected to the probe **10** and/or to the controller **100**. Such additional controllers may be configured to execute any one or more of the methods or processes described herein.

In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 1, the init transducer array **12** is located centrally in between left **14** and right **16** lateral imaging transducer arrays. In alternative embodiments, an init array may be located in any other position, such as the left position **14**, the right position **16** or another position in addition to those shown in FIG. 1. In further embodiments, any one of several transducer arrays in a multiple aperture probe may be temporarily or permanently assigned and controlled to operate as an init array.

In further embodiments, an init transducer need not necessarily be a separate array. Rather, a single transducer element or a group of transducer elements that are part of a larger array that may otherwise be used for imaging may be temporarily or permanently designated and controlled/operated as an init array.

As will be discussed in further detail below, the imaging transducer arrays **14**, **16** of the probe **10** may be used for imaging the region of interest **50**. The imaging transducer arrays **14**, **16** may comprise any transducer array construction suitable for ultrasound imaging, such as 1D, 1.XD, 2D arrays of piezoelectric crystals or CMUT elements.

Embodiments of multiple aperture ultrasound imaging probes may include any number of imaging apertures in a wide range of physical arrangements. For example, FIG. 2 illustrates an embodiment of a multiple aperture elastography probe **11** comprising a central init transducer array **12** and two pairs of imaging arrays **14**, **15**, **16**, **17** all four of which may be used in a multiple aperture imaging process. In some embodiments, the init array **12** may alternatively be in the position of any of the other arrays **14**, **15**, **16**, **17**.

In some embodiments, multiple aperture probes may have a generally concave tissue-engaging surface, and may include a plurality of imaging apertures. In some embodiments, each individual aperture of a multiple aperture probe may comprise a separate and distinct transducer array. In other embodiments, individual apertures may be dynamically and/or electronically assigned on a large continuous transducer array.

FIG. 3 illustrates an embodiment of a multiple aperture elastography probe comprising a central init transducer array **12** and a pair of concave curved lateral imaging arrays **18**, **20**. In some embodiments, multiple imaging apertures may be dynamically assigned on one or both of the concave lateral arrays **18**, **20** as described in Applicants’ prior U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/272,105, which is incorporated herein by reference. Alternatively, each of the concave curved lateral arrays may be treated as a separate aperture.

FIG. 3A illustrates an embodiment of a multiple aperture elastography probe comprising a single continuous concave curved transducer array **19**. As with other embodiments discussed above, any portion of the continuous curved array **19** may be temporarily or permanently configured, designated, and controlled/operated as an init array.

FIG. 3B illustrates an embodiment of a multiple aperture elastography probe comprising a 3D array **25** as described in Applicants’ prior application Ser. No. 13/272,105. A group of

transducer elements **12** is shown designated as a shear wave initiating region. As with the above embodiments, any other region of the 3D array **25** may be designated as an init region.

In some embodiments, a probe with at least three arrays may be adapted for elastography by replacing at least one transducer array with a low frequency init transducer array. In some embodiments, an init transducer array of a multiple aperture probe may be positioned between at least two other arrays. Such probe configurations may include adjustable probes, cardiac probes, universal probes, intravenous ultrasound (IVUS) probes, endo-vaginal probes, endo-rectal probes, transesophageal probes or other probes configured for a particular application.

Similarly, any other multiple aperture or single-aperture ultrasound imaging probe may be adapted for use with the elastography systems and methods described herein. In still further embodiments, an init array may be provided on a separate probe entirely independent of an imaging probe. For example, an init probe may be provided with a separate housing from the housing of the imaging probe. In some embodiments, an independent init probe may be configured to be temporarily attached to an imaging probe. In such embodiments, such a separate init probe may be controlled by the same ultrasound imaging system as an imaging probe, or the init probe may be controlled independently of the imaging system. An independently-controlled elastography init pulse controller may be synchronized with an ultrasound imaging system in order to provide the imaging system with accurate timing information indicating the time at which an init pulse is transmitted.

In alternative embodiments, similar frame rates may be achieved by transmitting a plane wave front (e.g., by transmitting simultaneous pulses from several transducers in a common array), receiving echoes, and mapping the received echoes to pixel locations using techniques similar to those described above. Some embodiments of such plane-wave transmitting systems may achieve frame rates similar to those achieved with ping-based imaging techniques.

Embodiments of Shear-Wave Initiating Transducers

Regardless of probe construction, embodiments of an init array **12** may be configured to transmit shear-wave initiating ultrasound pulses with frequencies between about 1 MHz and about 10 MHz. In other embodiments, the init array **12** may be configured to transmit shear-wave initiating ultrasound pulses with a frequency up to about 18 MHz or higher. In some embodiments, an ultrasound frequency for producing init pulses may be about half of an ultrasound frequency used for imaging. Depending on materials and construction, a single transducer array may be capable of producing both low frequency ultrasound pulses for an init pulse and relatively high frequency ultrasound pulses for imaging. However, in some embodiments it may be desirable to use transducers optimized for a relatively narrow frequency range to allow for more efficient control of an init pulse or an imaging pulse.

Thus, in some embodiments, an init transducer array **12** may comprise a separate array configured to function exclusively as an init array, such as by being optimized to function efficiently within an expected init frequency range. As a result, in some embodiments an init array may be structurally different than separate imaging arrays. In other embodiments an init array may be physically identical to an imaging array, and may differ only in terms of its operation and use.

In some embodiments, the init transducer array **12** may comprise a rectangular or otherwise shaped array (e.g., a 1D, 1.xD, 2D or other rectangular array) of piezoelectric elements. In other embodiments, the init transducer array **12**

may comprise a rectangular or otherwise shaped array of capacitive micro-machined ultrasound transducer (CMUT) elements.

In other embodiments, the init array **12** may comprise an annular array **30** as shown for example in FIG. 4. An annular array may comprise a plurality of transducer elements arranged in concentric circular or elliptical patterns. Such annular arrays **20** may also use any suitable transducer material. In some embodiments, an init array **12** may comprise a switched ring annular transducer array.

In some embodiments, a switched-ring annular array may include a dish-shaped ultrasonic transducer (e.g., a segment of a sphere) which may be divided into a plurality of concentric annular transducer elements of which the innermost element may be either a planar annulus or a complete dish. In some embodiments, the curvature of the front surface of the annular array **20** and any lens or impedance matching layer between the transducer and the region of interest surface may at least partially determine the focal length of the transducer. In other embodiments, an annular array may be substantially planar and an acoustic lens may be employed to focus the transmitted ultrasound energy.

An annular array **20** may include any number of rings, such as three rings in addition to the center disc as shown in FIG. 4. In other embodiments, an annular array may include 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 or more rings in addition to a center disc or dish. In some embodiments, the rings may be further decoupled by etching, scribing, complete cutting or otherwise dividing the rings into a plurality of ring elements within each ring. In some embodiments, an annular array transducer for operating to depths of 25 cm may have a diameter of 40 mm with the outer ring may have a width of approximately 1.85 mm, providing a surface area of 222 mm<sup>2</sup>; the inner ring may have a width of approximately 0.8 mm and lying at an approximate radius of 10.6 mm to provide a surface area of 55 mm<sup>2</sup>.

In some embodiments, each ring (or each ring element within a ring) may have individual electrical connections such that each ring (or ring element) may be individually controlled as a separate transducer element by the control system such that the rings may be phased so as to direct a shear-wave initiating pulse to a desired depth within the region of interest. The amplitude of the energy applied may determine the amplitude of the emitted ultrasonic waves which travel away from the face of the annular array **20**.

In some embodiments the size and/or number of elements in an init array may be determined by the shape or other properties of the shear waves to be produced.

In some embodiments, a shear-wave initiating pulse produced by an init transducer array **12** may be focused during transmission to provide maximum power at the region of interest. In some embodiments, the init pulse may be focused on an init line **22** (e.g., as shown in FIGS. 1, 2 and 3). The init pulse may further be focused at a desired depth to produce a maximum disruptive power at the desired depth. In some embodiments, the axial focus line and the focused depth point may be determined by transmitting pulses from a plurality of transducer elements at a set of suitable delays (i.e., using "phased array" techniques). In some embodiments, transmit delays may be omitted when using an annular array with a series of switched rings as discussed above.

In some embodiments, the init pulse need not be electronically steerable. In such embodiments, the probe may be configured to always transmit an init pulse along a consistent line relative to the probe. In some embodiments, the expected line of the init pulse may be displayed on the ultrasound display (e.g., overlaying a contemporaneous B-mode image of the region of interest) so as to provide an operator with a visual

13

indication of the path of the init pulse relative to the imaged region of interest. In such embodiments, a sonographer may manipulate the probe until the display shows a representative init line passing through an object to be evaluated by elastography.

In alternative embodiments, an init pulse may be electronically steered in a direction indicated by an operator. In such embodiments, the line of the init pulse may be selected by an operator through any appropriate user interface interaction without the need to move the probe. In some embodiments, the user interface interaction may include a visual display of the init line on a display screen (e.g., overlaying a contemporaneous B-mode image of the region of interest). Once a desired init pulse direction is chosen, an init pulse may be electronically steered so as to travel along the selected line. Embodiments for Detecting Shear Wave Propagation Rate

Returning to FIG. 1, an example of shear wave propagation will be described. A shear wave may be initiated in a region of interest 50 from an init pulse from a multiple aperture elastography probe 10 (or any other suitably configured elastography probe). As discussed above, the init pulse may be focused along a line 22 extending from the init transducer array 12 into the region of interest to at least a desired depth. In some embodiments, the line 22 may be perpendicular to the init transducer array 12. An initial pulse 52 transmitted along the init line 22 will tend to induce a wave front 56 propagating outwards from the line 22 within the image plane. The propagating wavefront 56 induced by the init pulse will push the tissue in the direction of propagation. An elastic medium such as human tissue will react to this push by a restoring force which induces mechanical waves including shear waves which propagate transversely from the line 22 in the tissue.

Embodiments of elastographic imaging processes will now be described with reference to the probe construction of FIG. 1 and the flow charts of FIGS. 5-7. These processes may be used with any suitably configured probe as described above. In some embodiments, the left and right lateral transducer arrays 14, 16 may be used to image the region of interest 50 with either, both or a combination of a high frame rate ultrasound imaging technique and a high resolution multiple aperture ultrasound imaging technique. These techniques are summarized below, and further details of these techniques are provided in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/029,907, which illustrates embodiments of imaging techniques using transmission of a circular wavefront and using receive-only beamforming to produce an entire image from each pulse or "ping" (also referred to as ping-based imaging techniques).

The terms "high resolution imaging" and "high frame rate imaging" are used herein as abbreviated names for alternative imaging processes. These terms are not intended to be limiting or exclusive, as the "high resolution imaging" process may also be operated at a high frame rate relative to other imaging techniques, and the "high frame rate imaging" process may also produce images of a substantially higher resolution than other imaging techniques. Furthermore, the rate of shear wave propagation may be detected using high frame rate imaging techniques and/or high resolution imaging techniques other than those described or referenced herein.

FIG. 5 illustrates an embodiment of a high resolution multiple aperture imaging process 60 that may use a multiple aperture ultrasound imaging probe such as that shown in FIG. 1. In some embodiments, one or both of the imaging arrays 14, 16 may include one or more transducer elements temporarily or permanently designated as transmit elements T1 through Tn. The remaining transducer elements of one or both of the imaging arrays 14, 16 may be designated as receive elements.

14

In some embodiments, a high resolution multiple aperture ultrasound imaging process 60 may comprise transmitting a series of successive pulses from a series of different transmit apertures (T1 . . . Tn) 62, receiving echoes 64 from each pulse with a plurality of elements on a receive aperture, and obtaining a complete image 66 from echoes received from each transmit pulse. These images may then be combined 68 into a final high-resolution image. Embodiments of such a high resolution multiple aperture imaging process may be substantially similar to the process shown and described in Applicants' prior U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/029,907 referenced above.

As indicated in FIG. 5, during a first cycle of a high resolution imaging process, the steps of transmitting an ultrasound signal 62A, receiving echoes 64A, and forming an image 66A may be performed using a first transmit transducer T1. During a second cycle, signals may be transmitted 62B from a different transmit transducer Ti, echoes may be received 64B, and a second image may be formed 66B. The process of steps 62x-66x may be repeated using n different transmit transducers which may respectively be located at any desired position within an ultrasound probe. Once a desired number of image (also referred to as image layers) have been formed, such image layers may be combined 68 into a single image frame, thereby improving image quality. If desired, the process 60 may then be repeated to obtain multiple time-domain frames which may then be consecutively displayed to a user.

FIG. 6 illustrates an embodiment of a high frame rate imaging process 70. In some embodiments, a high frame rate ultrasound imaging process 70 may comprise transmitting successive pings from a single transmit aperture Tx 72, forming a complete image 76 from echoes received 74 from each transmitted ping 72, and treating each image 76 as a successive time domain frame. In this way, slight changes in the position of reflectors in the region of interest 50 can be sampled at a very high frame rate.

As indicated in FIG. 6, during a first cycle, a ping may be transmitted from a chosen transmit transducer Tx 72A, echoes may be received 74A and a first frame may be formed 76A. The same cycle of steps transmitting 72B and receiving 74B may then be repeated to produce a second frame 76B, a third frame (steps 72C, 74C, 76C), and as many subsequent frames as desired or needed as described elsewhere herein.

In some embodiments, a maximum frame rate of an imaging system using ping-based imaging techniques may be reached when a ping repetition frequency (i.e., the frequency at which successive pings are transmitted) is equal to an inverse of the round trip travel time (i.e., the time for an ultrasound wave to travel from a transmit transducer to a reflector at a desired distance from the transducer, plus the time for an echo to return from the reflector to a receive transducer along the same or a different path). In other embodiments, overlapping pings may be used with coded excitation or other methods of distinguishing overlapping echoes. That is, a second ping may be transmitted before all echoes from a first ping are received. This is possible as long as the transmitted ping signals may be coded or otherwise distinguished such that echoes of a first ping may be recognized as distinct from echoes of a second ping. Several coded excitation techniques are known to those skilled in the art, any of which may be used with a point-source multiple aperture imaging probe. Alternatively, overlapping pings may also be distinguished by transmitting pings at different frequencies or using any other suitable techniques. Using overlapping pings, even higher imaging frame rates may be achieved.

15

In some embodiments, prior to initiating an elastographic imaging process, an imaging window may be defined during a B-mode imaging process. The defined image window may be a section of the region of interest in which elastography is to be performed. For example, the image window may be defined after any combination of probe positioning, depth-selection, zooming, panning, etc. In some embodiments, an image window may be as large as an entire insonified region of interest. In other embodiments, an image window may be only a smaller section of the complete region of interest (e.g., a “zoomed-in” section). In some embodiments, an image window may be defined after an imaging session using echo data retrieved from a raw data memory device.

FIG. 7 illustrates an embodiment of an elastography process **80** using a probe such as that shown in FIG. 1. In the illustrated embodiment, an elastography process **80** may generally involve the steps of obtaining **82** and storing **84** a baseline image, transmitting a shear-wave initiating pulse (an init pulse) **86** into the region of interest **50**, imaging the region of interest **50** using a high frame rate imaging process **88**, and subtracting the baseline image **90** from each frame obtained during the high frame rate imaging process **88**. The remaining series of “difference frames” can then be analyzed to obtain information about the tissue displaced by the shear wave **56** propagating through the tissue of the region of interest **50**. The propagation speed of the shear wave **56** may be obtained through analysis of the perturbation of tissue in the time-series of difference frames.

In some embodiments, while imaging a selected image window within a region of interest with an elastography-enabled ultrasound probe, an init line **22** (shown in FIG. 1) may be displayed on an ultrasound image display screen overlying an image of the target region. In some embodiments, the ultrasound imaging system may continuously image the region of interest with a high resolution imaging process as discussed above with reference to FIG. 5. Alternatively, any other desired ultrasound imaging process may be used to obtain an image of the region to be analyzed by an elastography process.

Once the probe **10** is in a desired orientation such that the init line **22** intersects a desired target object or portion of the region of interest, an elastography depth may be selected, and an elastography process **80** may be initiated. In some embodiments, an elastography depth may be selected by an operator via a suitable user interface action. In other embodiments, an elastography depth may be selected automatically by an ultrasound imaging control system. In some embodiments, an elastography process may be initiated manually by an operator of the ultrasound system. In other embodiments, an elastography process **80** may be initiated automatically by an ultrasound system upon automatic identification of a structure to be inspected.

As shown in the embodiment of FIG. 7, an elastography process **80** using a probe such as that shown in FIG. 1 (or any other suitably configured probe) may begin by obtaining **82** and storing **84** a baseline image of the target region of interest **50**. In one embodiment, the baseline image may be formed by obtaining a single frame using a high-frame-rate imaging process such as that described above. In such embodiments, a baseline image may be formed by transmitting an imaging pulse from a single transducer element Tx from a first of the lateral transducer arrays **14**, **16** (e.g., the right array **16**), and receiving echoes on multiple elements of the second of the lateral transducer arrays **14**, **16** (e.g., the left array **14**). In some embodiments, echoes from the transmit pulse may also be received by receive elements on the first transducer array (e.g. the right array **16**). The baseline image may then be

16

formed and stored **84** for use in subsequent steps. In an alternative embodiment, the baseline image may be obtained **82** using a high resolution imaging process such as that described above.

After obtaining a baseline image **82**, the init transducer array may be operated to transmit a shear-wave initiating pulse **86** into the region of interest. An init pulse may be produced by any suitable devices and methods as described above. In some embodiments, the shear wave initiating pulse may be focused along a displayed init line **22**, and may be focused at a particular depth within the region of interest.

After an init pulse is transmitted **86**, the system may begin imaging the region of interest at a high frame rate **88** using the lateral imaging arrays **14**, **16**. In some embodiments, the high frame rate imaging process may comprise the process described above with reference to FIG. 6. In one embodiment, the high frame rate imaging process may comprise transmitting a series of transmit pulses from a single transmit aperture Tx, and receiving echoes at a plurality of elements on at least one receive aperture. In some embodiments, the high frame rate imaging **88** may be performed by transmitting ultrasound pulses from the same transmit element (or aperture) as that used in the step of obtaining a baseline image **82**. In some embodiments, the high frame rate imaging may continue at least until propagation of the induced shear wave has stopped or has progressed to a desired degree. A duration of high frame-rate imaging time may be calculated in advance based on an expected minimum propagation speed and an image size. Alternatively, the high frame rate imaging **88** may be stopped upon detecting the shear wave’s propagation at an extent of an imaging frame.

In some embodiments, forming a single frame during a high frame rate imaging process **88** may include combining image layers obtained from echoes received at different receiving transducer elements. For example, separate images may be formed from echoes received by each individual transducer element of a receive aperture to form a single improved image. Then, a first image produced by echoes received by all elements of a first receive aperture may be combined with a second image produced by echoes received by all elements of a second receive aperture in order to further improve the quality of the resulting image. In some embodiments, the image resulting from such combinations may then be used as a single frame in the high frame rate imaging process **88**. Further examples of such image combining are described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/029,907 referenced above.

In some embodiments, the baseline image may then be subtracted **90** from each individual frame obtained in the high frame rate imaging process **88**. For example, each pixel value of a single frame may be subtracted from the value of each corresponding pixel in the baseline image. The image resulting from such subtraction may be referred to as a “difference image” or a “difference frame.” The difference images thus obtained will include pixel values representing substantially only the shear waveform plus any noise.

In some embodiments, the steps of obtaining a baseline image **82**, transmitting an init pulse **86** continuously imaging at a high frame rate **88**, and obtaining difference image frames **90** may be repeated as many times as desired. The difference images from such multiple cycles may be averaged or otherwise combined in order to improve a signal to noise level.

The propagating shear waveform may be detected along lines transverse to the direction of the init pulse (e.g., as shown in FIG. 1) by detecting perturbation (i.e., small changes in an otherwise ‘normal’ pattern) in subsequent difference frames. The speed of the shear wave’s propagation

may be obtained by determining the position of the shear wave in multiple image frames obtained at known time intervals.

In some cases, the perturbation caused by a propagating shear wave may produce a relatively disbursed image of the propagating wave front. For example, perturbation may appear in a difference frame as a speckle pattern **92** such as that shown in FIG. 8. An approximate center line **94** of the point cloud **92** may be determined and treated as representative of the position of the propagating shear wavefront. In some embodiments, a line, curve or other path **94** may be fit to the point cloud **92** using any suitable path fit algorithm. For example, in some embodiments an absolute value of the difference frame may be calculated, and a local position of the shear wave may be determined by averaging the position of the nearest  $x$  points.

In some embodiments, the analysis may be limited to only a portion of the point cloud **92** (and/or a corresponding center line **94**). For example, if it is determined (by visual inspection or by automated analysis) that a small segment of the shear wavefront is propagating faster than adjacent segments, the region(s) of apparent higher or lower propagation speed may be selected, and the speed of propagation may be calculated for only that portion of the shear wavefront.

By calculating a distance between the focused init line **22** and the fit line **94** in a given difference frame, an approximate position of the shear wave in the given difference frame may be calculated. The rate of propagation of the wavefront between any two frames may be determined by dividing the distance traveled by the shear wave by the time that elapsed between obtaining the two frames. In alternative embodiments, the position of a shear wave in any given frame may be measured relative to any other suitable datum.

In various embodiments, the number of frames needed to measure the propagation speed of a shear wave may vary. In some embodiments an approximate speed measurement may be obtained from as few as two or three frames obtained at known time intervals. In other embodiments, at least ten frames obtained at known time intervals may be needed to obtain a sufficiently accurate time measurement. In further embodiments, at least 100 frames obtained at known time intervals may be used to obtain a more accurate time measurement. In still further embodiments, 200 frame or more may be used. Generally, the accuracy of shear wave propagation speed measurements may increase with the number of frames from which such measurements are made. As the number of frames increases, so does computational complexity, so the number of frames to be used may be balanced with available processing capabilities.

When more than two frames are available to be used for measuring propagation speed, any number of algorithms may be used. For example, in some embodiments the shear wave position may be detected in each available frame, a speed may be calculated between each consecutive pair of frames, and the results of all such speed measurements may be averaged to obtain a single speed value. In other embodiments, speed measurements may be calculated based on time intervals and relative shear wave positions between different and/or variable numbers of frames. For example, propagation speed may be calculated between every three frames, every five frames, every 10 frames, every 50 frames, etc. Such measurements may then be averaged with one another and/or with measurements obtained from consecutive frame pairs. Weighted averages may also be used in some embodiments.

In some embodiments, an entire elastography process **80** (FIG. 7) may be repeated at different focus depths relative to the init transducer array **12**. In some embodiments, un-beam-

formed elastography echo data obtained at various depths may be stored and combined into a single 2D or 3D data set for further post processing and/or for later viewing and analysis. In various embodiments, un-beamformed elastography echo data may be captured and stored for later processing on the imaging system or any other suitable computing hardware.

In alternative embodiments, the propagation speed of a shear wave may be measured by detecting the speed of moving/displaced tissues using the multiple aperture Doppler techniques described in Applicant's co-pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/690,989, filed Nov. 30, 2012, titled "Motion Detection Using Ping-Based And Multiple Aperture Doppler Ultrasound."

Once the shear wave is captured and its propagation speed is measured, the hardness of the tissue in the region of interest, as quantified by Young's modulus ( $E$ ) can be measured or determined by a controller, signal processor or computer. Elasticity ( $E$ ) and shear wave propagation speed ( $c$ ) are directly related through the simple formula:

$$E=3\rho c^2$$

Where  $\rho$  is the density of tissue expressed in  $\text{kg/m}^3$ . Because the density of tissues tends to vary minimally, an approximate density value may be assumed for the purpose of calculating elasticity using a measured propagation speed value. The fact that the speed term is squared further minimizes the effect of any error in the assumed density value. Thus, the elasticity of the tissue may be calculated after measuring only the shear wave propagation velocity  $c$  and using an assumed approximate value for tissue density.

In some embodiments, the density value may be stored in a digital memory device within or electronically accessible by the controller. In other embodiments, the density value may be manually entered or edited by a user via any suitable user interface device. Once the speed of shear wave propagation has been measured for a desired area within the region of interest, the controller may retrieve the density value and calculate the elasticity for the desired area.

In some embodiments, elasticity estimates may be overlaid on an image of the region of interest. In some embodiments, such an overlay may be provided as a color coded shaded image, showing areas of high elasticity in contrasting colors to areas of relatively low elasticity. Alternatively, a propagating shear wave may be displayed on an image. In some embodiments, a propagating shear wave may be displayed as an animated moving line, as changing colors, as a moving point cloud or in other ways. In further embodiments, a numeric value of a shear wave propagation speed may be displayed. In other embodiments, numeric values of elasticity may be displayed on an image of the region of interest. Soft tissues will tend to have relatively small values of elasticity, and liquid-filled areas do not conduct shear waves at all.

#### Raw Echo Data Memory

Various embodiments of the systems and methods described above may be further enhanced by using an ultrasound imaging system configured to store digitized echo waveforms during an imaging session. Such digital echo data may be subsequently processed on an imaging system or on an independent computer or other workstation configured to beamform and process the echo data to form images. In some embodiments, such a workstation device may comprise any digital processing system with software for dynamically beamforming and processing echo data using any of the techniques described above. For example, such processing may be performed using data processing hardware that is entirely independent of an ultrasound imaging system used to trans-



mit and receive ultrasound signals. Such alternative processing hardware may comprise a desktop computer, a tablet computer, a laptop computer, a smartphone, a server or any other general purpose data processing hardware.

In various embodiments, received echo data (including echoes received during a high frame rate imaging process) may be stored at various stages from pure analog echo signals to fully processed digital images or even digital video. For example, a purely raw analog signal may be stored using an analog recording medium such as analog magnetic tape. At a slightly higher level of processing, digital data may be stored immediately after passing the analog signal through an analog-to-digital converter. Further processing, such as band-pass filtering, interpolation, down-sampling, up-sampling, other filtering, etc. may be performed on the digitized echo data, and raw data may be stored after such additional filtering or processing steps. Such raw data may then be beamformed to determine a pixel location for each received echo, thereby forming an image. Individual images may be combined as frames to form video. In some embodiments, it may be desirable to store digitized echo data after performing very little processing (e.g., after some filtering and conditioning of digital echo data, but before performing any beamforming or image processing). Some ultrasound systems store beamformed echo data or fully processed image data. Nonetheless, as used herein, the phrases “raw echo data” and “raw data” may refer to stored echo information describing received ultrasound echoes (RX data) at any level of processing prior to beamforming. Raw echo data may include echo data resulting from B-mode pings, Doppler pings, or any other ultrasound transmit signal.

In addition to received echo data, it may also be desirable to store information about one or more ultrasound transmit signals that generated a particular set of echo data. For example, when imaging with a multiple aperture ping ultrasound method as described above, it is desirable to know information about a transmitted ping that produced a particular set of echoes. Such information may include the identity and/or position of one or more transmit elements as well as a frequency, magnitude, pulse length, duration or other information describing a transmitted ultrasound signal. Transmit data is collectively referred herein to as “TX data”.

In some embodiments, TX data may also include information defining a line along which a shear-wave initiating pulse is transmitted, and timing information indicating a time at which such a shear-wave initiating pulse is transmitted relative to received echo data.

In some embodiments, such TX data may be stored explicitly in the same raw data memory device in which raw echo data is stored. For example, TX data describing a transmitted signal may be stored as a header before or as a footer after a set of raw echo data generated by the transmitted signal.

In other embodiments, TX data may be stored explicitly in a separate memory device that is also accessible to a system performing a beamforming process. In embodiments in which transmit data is stored explicitly, the phrases “raw echo data” or “raw data” may also include such explicitly stored TX data. In still further embodiments, transducer element position information may be explicitly stored in the same or a separate memory device. Such element position data may be referred to as “calibration data” or “element position data”, and in some embodiments may be generally included within “raw data.”

TX data may also be stored implicitly. For example, if an imaging system is configured to transmit consistently defined ultrasound signals (e.g., consistent magnitude, shape, frequency, duration, etc.) in a consistent or known sequence,

then such information may be assumed during a beamforming process. In such cases, the only information that needs to be associated with the echo data is the position (or identity) of the transmit transducer(s). In some embodiments, such information may be implicitly obtained based on the organization of raw echo data in a raw data memory. For example, a system may be configured to store a fixed number of echo records following each ping. In such embodiments, echoes from a first ping may be stored at memory positions 0 through ‘n’ (where ‘n’ is the number of records stored for each ping), and echoes from a second ping may be stored at memory positions n+1 through 2n+1. In other embodiments, one or more empty records may be left in between echo sets. In some embodiments received echo data may be stored using various memory interleaving techniques to imply a relationship between a transmitted ping and a received echo data point (or a group of echoes). Similarly, assuming data is sampled at a consistent, known sampling rate, the time at which each echo data point was received may be inferred from the position of that data point in memory. In some embodiments, the same techniques may also be used to implicitly store data from multiple receive channels in a single raw data memory device.

In some embodiments, raw TX data and raw echo data may be captured and stored during an imaging session in which an elastography process is performed. Such data may then be later retrieved from the memory device, and beamforming, image processing, and shear-wave speed measurement steps may be repeated using different assumptions, inputs or algorithms in order to further improve results. For example, during such re-processing of stored data, assumed values of tissue density or speed-of-sound may be used. Beamforming, image layer combining, or speed measurement averaging algorithms may also be modified during such re-processing relative to a real-time imaging session. In some embodiments, while reprocessing stored data, assumed constants and algorithms may be modified iteratively in order to identify an optimum set of parameters for a particular set of echo data.

Although this invention has been disclosed in the context of certain preferred embodiments and examples, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention extends beyond the specifically disclosed embodiments to other alternative embodiments and/or uses of the invention and obvious modifications and equivalents thereof. Various modifications to the above embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the scope of the present invention herein disclosed should not be limited by the particular disclosed embodiments described above, but should be determined only by a fair reading of the claims that follow.

In particular, materials and manufacturing techniques may be employed as within the level of those with skill in the relevant art. Furthermore, reference to a singular item, includes the possibility that there are plural of the same items present. More specifically, as used herein and in the appended claims, the singular forms “a,” “and,” “said,” and “the” include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. As used herein, unless explicitly stated otherwise, the term “or” is inclusive of all presented alternatives, and means essentially the same as the commonly used phrase “and/or.” Thus, for example the phrase “A or B may be blue” may mean any of the following: A alone is blue, B alone is blue, both A and B are blue, and A, B and C are blue. It is further noted that the claims may be drafted to exclude any optional element. As such, this statement is intended to serve as antecedent basis for use of such exclusive terminology as

21

“solely,” “only” and the like in connection with the recitation of claim elements, or use of a “negative” limitation. Unless defined otherwise herein, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of determining a stiffness of a tissue with ultrasound, the method comprising the steps of:

forming a baseline image of a region of interest with a ping-based ultrasound imaging system;

transmitting an ultrasonic pulse with a shear-wave-initiating transducer, the ultrasonic pulse being configured to induce a propagating shear wave in the region of interest; imaging the region of interest with the ping-based ultrasound imaging system to capture a first image frame and a second image frame of the propagating shear wave as it moves through the region of interest, wherein capturing the first frame comprises:

transmitting a first unfocused ultrasound ping from a first transmitter transducer element of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system;

forming a first image layer using echoes of only the first ultrasound ping received by elements of a first receive aperture of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system with an electronic controller of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system;

forming a second image layer using echoes of only the first ultrasound ping received by elements of a second receive aperture of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system with the electronic controller;

combining the first image layer and the second image layer with the electronic controller to form the first frame;

wherein capturing the second frame comprises:

transmitting a second unfocused ultrasound ping from the first transmitter transducer element of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system;

forming a third image layer using echoes of only the second ultrasound ping received by elements of the first receive aperture of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system with the electronic controller;

forming a fourth image layer using echoes of only the second ultrasound ping received by elements of the second receive aperture of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system with the electronic controller;

combining the third image layer and the fourth image layer with the electronic controller to form the second frame;

subtracting the baseline image from the first image frame with the electronic controller of the ping-based ultrasound imaging system to obtain a first difference frame;

subtracting the baseline image from the second image frame with the electronic controller to obtain a second difference frame;

determining a position of the propagating shear wave in the first and second difference frames with the electronic controller; and

calculating a first propagation speed of the propagating shear wave in the region of interest from the positions in the first and second difference frames with the electronic controller.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising calculating a tissue stiffness of the region of interest from the propagation speed.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the transmitting step comprises transmitting an ultrasonic pulse with a first ultra-

22

sound transducer array, and wherein the imaging step comprises imaging the region of interest with a second ultrasound transducer array.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the forming step comprises transmitting a first circular waveform from a first transmit aperture and receiving echoes on a first receive aperture.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the imaging step comprises transmitting second and third circular waveforms from the first transmit aperture and receiving echoes of the second and third circular waveforms with the first receive aperture.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the first transmit aperture and the first receive aperture do not include overlapping transducer elements.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the frame rate is between 1,000 and 75,000 fps.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising identifying the propagating shear wave as a speckle pattern moving through the region of interest.

9. The method of claim 1, further comprising displaying a contemporaneous image of the region of interest, including a line indicating a direction of transmission of the ultrasonic pulse configured to induce a propagating shear wave.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein the first difference frame and the second difference frame each contain substantially only noise and the speckle pattern representing the shear wave.

11. The method of claim 8, further comprising approximating a center line of the speckle pattern.

12. The method of claim 1, further comprising determining that a first segment of the shear wave is propagating faster than adjacent segments of the shear wave, and calculating a first segment propagation speed of the first segment.

13. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

imaging the region of interest with the ping-based ultrasound imaging system to capture a third image frame of the propagating shear wave as it moves through the region of interest;

subtracting the baseline image from the third image frame with the electronic controller to obtain a third difference frame;

determining a position of the propagating shear wave in the third difference frame with the electronic controller;

calculating a second propagation speed of the propagating shear wave in the region of interest from the positions in the first and third difference frames;

calculating a third propagation speed of the propagating shear wave in the region of interest from the positions in the second and third difference frames; and

calculating an average propagation speed from the first propagation speed, the second propagation speed and the third propagation speed.

14. The method of claim 1, wherein each of the baseline image and the first image frame comprises a plurality of pixel values, and wherein subtracting the baseline image from the first image frame comprises subtracting each pixel value of the baseline image from each corresponding pixel value of the first image frame.

15. The method of claim 1, wherein imaging the region of interest with the ping-based ultrasound imaging system further comprises transmitting unfocused omni-directional pings into the region of interest from at least one transmit aperture and receiving echoes of the unfocused omni-directional pings with at least one receive aperture separate from the transmit aperture.

16. The method of claim 1, wherein the shear wave propagates at a speed of between about 1 meter per second and about 10 meters per second.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein forming the baseline image of the region of interest comprises the steps of:  
transmitting a first unfocused ultrasound ping from a first transmitter transducer element;  
forming a first baseline image layer with an electronic controller using echoes of the first ultrasound ping received by elements of a first receive aperture;  
forming a second baseline image layer with the electronic controller using echoes of the first ultrasound ping received by elements of a second receive aperture;  
transmitting a second unfocused ultrasound ping from a second transmitter transducer element different than the first transmitter element;  
forming a third baseline image layer with the electronic controller using echoes of the second ultrasound ping received by elements of the first receive aperture;  
forming a fourth baseline image layer with the electronic controller using echoes of the second ultrasound ping received by elements of the second receive aperture; and  
combining the first baseline image layer, the second baseline image layer, the third baseline image layer, and the fourth baseline image layer with the electronic controller to form the baseline image.

\* \* \* \* \*